Some recent developments on the longtime behaviour of discretized Allen-Cahn equations

Morgan PIERRE

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications, UMR CNRS 7348, Université de Poitiers

11th European-Maghrebian Workshop, Hammamet, Sept. 3-7, 2018

(日) (四) (분) (분) (분) 분

The Allen-Cahn equation

$$u_t - \Delta u + f'(u) = 0,$$
 in $\Omega \times (0, +\infty),$

with $f'(u) = u^3 - u$ and Dirichlet or no-flux boundary condition Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $1 \le N \le 3$. The AC equation is L^2 gradient flow for the functional

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + f(u) dx,$$

where $f(u) = (u^2 - 1)^2/4$. In particular,

$$rac{d\mathcal{E}(u(t))}{dt} = -\int_{\Omega} |u_t(t)|^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Convergence to a single equilibrium was proved by **Simon'83** using a generalization of the Lojasiewicz inequality (+ Lasalle's invariance principle and regularizing property)

The modified Allen-Cahn equation

$$eta u_{tt} + u_t - \Delta u + f'(u) = 0, \quad ext{ in } \Omega imes (0, +\infty),$$

with $\beta > 0$, $f'(u) = u^3 - u$ and no-flux or Dirichlet boundary condition.

 Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbf{R}^N , $1 \leq N \leq 3$.

On multiplying scalarly by u_t , we see that

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}(u(t))}{dt} = -\int_{\Omega} |u_t(t)|^2 dx \leq 0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + f(u) + \frac{\beta}{2} |u_t|^2 dx.$$

Jendoubi'98 proved convergence to an equilibrium by generalizing Simon's approach.

Convergence to a single equilibrium for such PDEs is well-understood (gradient-like structure, precompactness of trajectories, Lojasiewicz-Simon inequality).

Contributors: Haraux, Chill, Jendoubi, Bolte;

Huang, Takac, Grasselli, Schimperna, Gatti, Miranville, Rougirel, Wu, Zhang, Abels, Wilke, ...

see the review book of [Haraux & Jendoubi'15].

Question: what happens for a time and/or space discretization of the PDE ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

We focus on the **time discretization** (in finite or infinite dimension).

The Cahn-Hilliard equation

$$u_t = -\alpha \Delta^2 u + \Delta f'(u), \quad \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty),$$

with $f'(u) = u^3 - u$ and Neumann boundary condition

- Simulation on the "unit disc" for $\alpha = 0.05$
- P1-P1 finite elements (splitting method for the bilaplacian)
- Backward Euler
- Δt = 0.015 and 600 iterations.

(FreeFem++ software) **Rk:** H^{-1} gradient flow for the functional

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{lpha}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + f(u) dx.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで



Initial state



Iteration n = 100



Iteration n = 400



A steady state for the Cahn-Hilliard equation

Phase-field crystal equation

$$u_t = \Delta(u + 2\Delta u + \Delta^2 u + f'(u))$$
 in $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}_+$

with periodic boundary conditions and $f'(u) = u^3 + ru$ (r < 0).

- Finite difference (FFT) in space : 256×256 grid
- linearly implicit Euler scheme in time: $\delta t = 0.01$

•
$$r = -0.9$$
, $\int_{\Omega} u_0 = 0.54 |\Omega|$, 15000 iterations

Matlab software

Rk: H^{-1} gradient flow for the Swift-Hohenberg functional

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} (u^2 - 2|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta u|^2) + f(u) dx.$$

(日) (四) (전) (전) (전) (전)



<ロト (四) (注) (注) () ()

æ



PFC, iteration n = 2800



<ロト (四) (注) (注) () ()

æ



・ロト ・日子・ ・ヨト・・

ъ



(a)



Pseudo-energy for the MPFC, $\langle u_t(0) \rangle = 0$

<ロ> (四) (四) (日) (日) (日)

æ



Pseudo-energy for the MPFC, $\langle u_t(0) \rangle \neq 0$

<ロ> (四) (四) (日) (日) (日)

æ

Examples and counter-examples Proof of convergence : the Lojasiewicz inequality

A general convergence result

Theorem (Absil, Mahony & Andrews'05)

Let $\Phi : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ be real analytic and $U \in C^1(\mathbf{R}_+, \mathbf{R}^d)$. Assume that there exists $\delta > 0$ and $\tau \ge 0$ such that for all $t > \tau$,

$$-rac{d\Phi(U(t))}{dt}=-
abla \Phi(U(t))\cdot U'(t)\geq \delta \|
abla \Phi(U(t))\|\,\,\|U'(t)\|^2$$

(angle condition), and

$$rac{d\Phi(U(t))}{dt}=0 \Rightarrow U'(t)=0.$$

(weak decrease condition).

Then either $||U(t)|| \to +\infty$ or there exists $U^* \in \mathbf{R}^d$ such that $U(t) \to U^*$.

Introduction A convergence result in the continuous case Convergence for time-discrete schemes Applications Space and time discretizations of PDE's About the infinite dimensional case	Examples and counter-examples Proof of convergence : the Lojasiewicz inequality
--	--

- NB : no reference to the dynamical system (but in general U satisfies an ODE and Φ is a Lyapunov function associated to the ODE) : an optimization approach
- 4 assumptions : analycity, angle condition, weak decrease condition, and ||U(t)|| → +∞ (compactness)

See also Barta-Chill-Fasangova'10

Examples and counter-examples Proof of convergence : the Lojasiewicz inequality

Example 1 : gradient-flow Consider the **gradient flow**

$$U'(t) = -\nabla F(U(t)) \quad t \ge 0, \tag{1}$$

where $U = (u_1, \ldots, u_d)^t$, $F \in C^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^d, \mathbf{R})$. We choose $\Phi = F$ and we have

$$-rac{d\Phi(U(t))}{dt} = -
abla F(U(t)) \cdot U'(t) = \|U'(t)\|^2 = \|
abla F(U(t))\| \|U'(t)\|$$

so that the angle condition is satisfied (with $\delta = 1$), and the weak decrease condition also.

Thus: if F is real analytic and if U is bounded, then $U(t) \rightarrow U^*$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, where $\nabla F(U^*) = 0$. (Lojasiewicz'65)

- 不同 ト イラト イラ

Example 2 : Second-order gradient-like system

$$U''(t) + U'(t) + \nabla F(U(t)) = 0, \quad t \ge 0,$$
 (2)

where $F \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^d, \mathbf{R})$. The energy estimate is obtained on multiplying (2) by U'(t):

$$\|U'(t)\|^2 + rac{d}{dt}\left(rac{1}{2}\|U'(t)\|^2 + F(U(t))
ight) = 0, \quad orall t \geq 0.$$

Strong Lyapunov functional, obtained for $\varepsilon > 0$ small (and for U bounded):

$$\Phi(U, V) = \frac{1}{2} \|V\|^2 + F(U) + \varepsilon \langle \nabla F(U), V \rangle.$$

Examples and counter-examples Proof of convergence : the Lojasiewicz inequality

We write (2) as a first order system

$$\begin{cases} U' = V, \\ V' = -V - \nabla F(U) \end{cases}$$
(3)

Since

$$\Phi(U, V) = \frac{1}{2} \|V\|^2 + F(U) + \varepsilon \langle \nabla F(U), V \rangle,$$

we have

$$abla \Phi(U,V) = egin{cases} \partial_U \Phi(U,V) =
abla F(U) + arepsilon
abla^2 F(U) V \ \partial_V \Phi(U,V) = V + arepsilon
abla F(U) \end{cases}$$

(日) (同) (日) (日)

э

Examples and counter-examples Proof of convergence : the Lojasiewicz inequality

Assume that U is bounded. Then V is also bounded, by the energy estimate. By computation, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough,

$$-
abla \Phi(U,V) \cdot (U',V') \geq c_1 \left(\|V\|^2 + \|
abla F(U)\|^2
ight)$$

Moreover,

$$egin{aligned} &\|
abla \Phi(U,V)\| \leq c_2 \left(\|V\|^2 + \|
abla F(U)\|^2
ight)^{1/2}, \ &(\|U'\|^2 + \|V'\|^2)^{1/2} \leq c_3 \left(\|V\|^2 + \|
abla F(U)\|^2
ight)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the **angle condition** is satisfied (with $\delta = c_1/(c_2c_3)$), and the **weak decrease condition** as well. Thus, if *F* is real analytic on \mathbf{R}^d , then $(U(t), V(t)) \rightarrow (U^*, 0)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, where $\nabla F(U^*) = 0$. (Haraux & Jendoubi'98).

Examples and counter-examples Proof of convergence : the Lojasiewicz inequality

Counter-example

The convergence result of **Absil**, **Mahony and Andrews'05** can fail if $\Phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $d \ge 2$. "first" counterexample in **Palis and De Melo'82**.

The following counter-example is given in **Absil**, **Mahony and Andrews'05** ("mexican hat function"):

$$F(r, heta) = e^{-1/(1-r^2)} \left[1 - rac{4r^4}{4r^4 + (1-r^2)^4} \sin(heta - rac{1}{1-r^2})
ight],$$

if r < 1 and $F(r, \theta) = 0$ otherwise. We have $F \in C^{\infty}$, $F(r, \theta) > 0$ for r < 1 so every point on the circle r = 1 is a global minimizer. We can check that the curve defined by

$$\theta = 1/(1-r^2)$$

is a trajectory of the gradient flow $U'(t) = -\nabla F(U(t))$.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - の々で

Definition

We say that $\Phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the **Lojasiewicz inequality** near some point $U^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if there exist $\theta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\sigma > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ s.t. for all $V \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\|V - U^{\star}\| < \sigma \Rightarrow |\Phi(V) - \Phi(U^{\star})|^{1-\theta} \le \gamma \|\nabla \Phi(V)\|.$$
(4)

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

 θ is called a **Lojasiewciz exponent** of U^* . If Φ is analytic near U^* , then Φ satisfies the Lojasiewicz inequality near U^* (**Lojasiewicz'65**).

Definition

We say that $\Phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the **Lojasiewicz inequality** near some point $U^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if there exist $\theta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\sigma > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ s.t. for all $V \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\|V - U^{\star}\| < \sigma \Rightarrow |\Phi(V) - \Phi(U^{\star})|^{1-\theta} \le \gamma \|\nabla \Phi(V)\|.$$
(4)

 θ is called a **Lojasiewciz exponent** of U^* . If Φ is analytic near U^* , then Φ satisfies the Lojasiewicz inequality near U^* (**Lojasiewicz'65**). **Example:** for d = 1 and $p \ge 2$, $x \mapsto |x|^p$ satisfies (4) at x = 0 with $\theta = 1/p$. (NB : also true for 1 !). $In the "generic case" where <math>\nabla^2 \Phi(U)$ invertible, $\theta = 1/2$. **Counter-example:** for d = 1, the C^{∞} function $x \mapsto \exp(-1/x^2)$ satisfies (4) at x = 0 only for $\theta = 0$ (too weak).

Examples and counter-examples Proof of convergence : the Lojasiewicz inequality

A general convergence result (proof)

Theorem (Absil, Mahony & Andrews'05)

Let $\Phi : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ be real analytic and $U \in C^1(\mathbf{R}_+, \mathbf{R}^d)$. Assume that there exists $\delta > 0$ and $\tau \ge 0$ such that for all $t > \tau$,

$$-rac{d\Phi(U(t))}{dt}=-
abla \Phi(U(t))\cdot U'(t)\geq \delta \|
abla \Phi(U(t))\|\,\,\|U'(t)\|^2$$

(angle condition), and

$$rac{d\Phi(U(t))}{dt}=0 \Rightarrow U'(t)=0.$$

(weak decrease condition). Then either $||U(t)|| \rightarrow +\infty$ or there exists $U^* \in \mathbf{R}^d$ such that $U(t) \rightarrow U^*$. A proof (convergence)

$$\begin{split} -[\Phi(U(t))^{\theta}]' &= -\theta U'(t) \cdot \nabla \Phi(U(t)) \Phi(U(t))^{\theta-1} \\ \text{a.c.} &\geq \theta \delta \|U'(t)\| \|\nabla \Phi(U(t))\| \Phi(U(t))^{\theta-1} \\ \text{Loja.} &\geq \theta \delta \gamma^{-1} \|U'(t)\|, \\ \text{so} \quad \Phi(U(t_n))^{\theta} - \Phi(U(t))^{\theta} \geq \theta \delta \gamma^{-1} \int^t \|U'(s)\| ds. \end{split}$$

so
$$\Phi(U(t_n))^ heta - \Phi(U(t))^ heta \geq heta \delta \gamma^{-1} \int_{t_n}^t \|U'(s)\| ds$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

A proof (convergence)

Let $t_n \to +\infty$ s.t. $U(t_n) \to U^*$. $\Phi(U(t))$ is nonincreasing and so has a limit $\Phi^* = \Phi(U^*) = 0$. We may assume $\Phi(U(t)) > 0$ (by the w.d.c.). Choose *n* large enough so that $||U(t_n) - U^*|| < \sigma/2$ and $\theta^{-1}\delta^{-1}\gamma\Phi(U(t_n))^{\theta} < \sigma/2$, and define

$$t^+ = \sup\{t \ge t_n \mid \|U(s) - U^\star\| < \sigma \quad \forall s \in [t_n, t)\}.$$

For $t \in [t_n, t^+)$, we have

$$\begin{split} -[\Phi(U(t))^{\theta}]' &= -\theta U'(t) \cdot \nabla \Phi(U(t)) \Phi(U(t))^{\theta-1} \\ \text{a.c.} &\geq \theta \delta \|U'(t)\| \|\nabla \Phi(U(t))\| \Phi(U(t))^{\theta-1} \\ \text{Loja.} &\geq \theta \delta \gamma^{-1} \|U'(t)\|, \end{split}$$

so
$$\Phi(U(t_n))^{ heta} - \Phi(U(t))^{ heta} \geq heta \delta \gamma^{-1} \int_{t_n}^t \|U'(s)\| ds.$$

Thus $||U(t) - U(t_n)|| < \sigma/2$, $\forall t \in [t_n, t^+)$ and so $t^+ = +\infty$, otherwise $||U(t^+) - U^*|| = \sigma$ and

$$||U(t^+) - U^*|| \le ||U(t^+) - U(t_n)|| + ||U(t_n) - U^*|| < \sigma,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

a contradiction. QED.

A first result (discrete and explicit)

Theorem (Absil, Mahony and Andrews'05)

Let $\Phi: {I\!\!R}^d \to {I\!\!R}$ be real analytic and $(U^n)_n$ in ${I\!\!R}^d$ such that

$$\Phi(U^n)-\Phi(U^{n+1})\geq \delta \|
abla \Phi(U^n)\|\,\,\|U^{n+1}-U^n\|$$

for all n, for some $\delta > 0$ (angle condition), and

$$\Phi(U^{n+1}) = \Phi(U^n) \Rightarrow U^{n+1} = U^n$$

(descent condition).

Then either $U^n \to +\infty$ or there exists $U^* \in \mathbf{R}^d$ such that $U^n \to U^*$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回

In Alaa & P.'13, we generalized the previous result in order to handle more general situations:

- Replace "real analytic" by "Lojasiewicz inequality" :
- Include implicit schemes or linearly implicit schemes
- Consider Schemes with variable stepsize
- Multi-step schemes

See also Attouch & Bolte'09, Merlet & P.'10, Grasselli & P.'12.

A (1) > (1) > (1)

Assumptions: two descent conditions

Definition (Alaa and P.'13)

Let $(\tau_n)_n$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers and let $(U^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^d . We say that $(U^n)_n$ satisfies assumptions (5) and (6) for the function $\Phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ if there exist two constants $c_s > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(U^{n}) - \Phi(U^{n+1}) \ge \frac{c_{s}}{\tau_{n}} \|U^{n+1} - U^{n}\|^{2}, \quad \forall \ n \ge 0.$$
 (5)

and

$$\Phi(U^n) - \Phi(U^{n+1}) \ge \tau_n \delta \|\nabla \Phi(U^{n+1})\|^2, \quad \forall \ n \ge 0.$$
 (6)

▲口→ ▲御→ ▲理→ ▲理→ 三臣 …

NB: (5) and (6) imply the **implicit angle condition**:

$$\Phi(U^n) - \Phi(U^{n+1}) \geq (c_s \delta)^{1/2} \left\| \nabla \Phi(U^{n+1}) \right\| \left\| U^{n+1} - U^n \right\| \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

Theorem (Convergence result - Alaa and P.'13)

Let $(\tau_n)_n$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers, and let $(U^n)_n$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^d which has at least one accumulation point U^* . Assume that $(U^n)_n$ satisfies assumptions (5) and (6) for the function $\Phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ and that Φ satisfies the Łojasiewicz inequality near U^* . Then the whole sequence $(U^n)_n$ converges to U^* .

See also Attouch, Bolte & Svaiter'13, de Carvalho Bento, da Cruz Neto, Soubeyran & de Sousa Junior'16

A (1) > A (2) > A

Theorem (Convergence rates - Alaa and P.'13)

Let assumptions of the previous Theorem be satisfied, and let θ denote a Łojasiewicz exponent of Φ near U^{*}. Then the following estimates hold:

() if $\theta = 1/2$, there exist C > 0, $\alpha > 0$ and $\bar{n} \in \mathbf{N}^*$ such that

$$\|U^n - U^\star\| \le C \exp\left(-\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tau_k\right) \quad \forall n \ge \bar{n};$$
 (7)

2) if $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$, there exist C > 0 and $\overline{n} \in \mathbf{N}^*$ such that

$$\|U^n - U^\star\| \le C\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tau_k\right)^{-\theta/(1-2\theta)} \quad \forall n \ge \bar{n}.$$
 (8)

Let $\{(\tau_n^{\tau})_n : \tau > 0\}$ denote a family of bounded sequences of positive real numbers. The family is indexed by $\tau = \sup\{\tau_n^{\tau} : n \ge 0\}$. (for a constant stepsize $\tau_n^{\tau} = \tau$ for all n).

Theorem (Stability as au ightarrow 0 - Alaa and P.'13)

Let $(U_{\tau}^{n})_{n\geq 0}$ denote a family of sequences in \mathbb{R}^{d} indexed by $\tau \in (0, \tau^{*}]$ with $0 < \tau^{*} < +\infty$. Assume that there exist a function $\Phi \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R})$ and two positive constants c_{s}, δ independent of τ such that assumptions (5) and (6) hold for every sequence $(U_{\tau}^{n})_{n}$, *i.e.*

$$\begin{split} \Phi(U^n_{\tau}) - \Phi(U^{n+1}_{\tau}) &\geq \frac{c_s}{\tau_n} \|U^{n+1}_{\tau} - U^n_{\tau}\|^2, \quad \forall \ n \geq 0, \\ \Phi(U^n_{\tau}) - \Phi(U^{n+1}_{\tau}) &\geq \tau_n \delta \|\nabla \Phi(U^{n+1}_{\tau})\|^2, \quad \forall \ n \geq 0. \end{split}$$

If \overline{U} is a local minimizer of Φ , and if Φ satisfies the Łojasiewicz inequality near \overline{U} , then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for all $\tau \in (0, \tau^*]$, if $||U_{\tau}^0 - \overline{U}|| < \rho$, the sequence $(U_{\tau}^n)_n$ converges and satisfies $||U_{\tau}^n - \overline{U}|| \le \epsilon$ for all $n \ge 0$.

Discretizations of the gradient flow

$$U' + AU + \nabla F(U) = 0 \quad t \ge 0, \tag{9}$$

where A is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix of size d, and $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$. Equation (9) is a gradient-flow for the energy

$$E(V) = \frac{1}{2} \langle AV, V \rangle + F(V) \quad V \in \mathbf{R}^{d},$$
(10)

For implicit schemes, we assume that ∇F satisfies a one-sided Lipschitz condition

$$\langle \nabla F(U) - \nabla F(V), U - V \rangle \geq -c_F \|U - V\|^2 \quad \forall U, V \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$
(11)

for some (optimal) constant $c_F \ge 0$. For explicit or linearly implicit schemes, we assume that ∇F is Lipschitz continuous, i.e.

$$\|\nabla F(U) - \nabla F(V)\| \le L_F \|U - V\| \quad \forall U, V \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$
(12)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

for some (optimal) constant $L_F \ge 0$.

Discretizations of the gradient flow Discretizations of the modified gradient flow

We consider the following time discretizations of (9) with variable time step:

• Implicit Euler scheme (IE)

$$\frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\tau_n} + AU^{n+1} + \nabla F(U^{n+1}) = 0 \quad n \ge 0;$$
 (13)

• Linearly implicit Euler scheme (LIE)

$$\frac{U^{n+1}-U^n}{\tau_n} + AU^{n+1} + \nabla F(U^n) = 0 \quad n \ge 0;$$
(14)

• Explicit Euler scheme (EE)

$$\frac{U^{n+1}-U^n}{\tau_n}+AU^n+\nabla F(U^n)=0 \quad n\geq 0; \qquad (15)$$

▲ 同 ▶ → ミ ● ▶

Discretizations of the gradient flow Discretizations of the modified gradient flow

• Stabilized first order linearly implicit scheme (S1LI)

$$(\frac{1}{\tau_n}+S)(U^{n+1}-U^n)+AU^{n+1}+\nabla F(U^n)=0 \quad n\geq 0, \ (16)$$

where $S \ge 0$ denotes a constant which will be specified later on;

• Stabilized first order implicit scheme (S1I)

$$(\frac{1}{\tau_n} + S)(U^{n+1} - U^n) + AU^{n+1} + \nabla F(U^{n+1}) = 0 \quad n \ge 0,$$
(17)

where $S \ge 0$ is a constant which will be specified later on.

(日) (同) (日) (日)

Applications

Discretizations of the gradient flow

About the infinite dimensional case

scheme	∇F	$ au^{\star}$	S
IE (13)	(11)	$ au^{\star} < 2/c_{F}$	/
LIE (14)	(12)	$ au^{\star} < 2/L_{F}$	/
EE (15)	(12)	$ au^{\star} < 2/(\lambda_d + L_F)$	/
S1LI (16)	(12)	$\tau^{\star} < \infty$	$S \ge L_F/2$
S1I (17)	(11)	$\tau^{\star} < \infty$	$S \ge c_F/2$

Table : Assumptions

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回>

э

Introduction A convergence result in the continuous case Convergence for time-discrete schemes Applications Space and time discretizations of PDE's About the infinite dimensional case	Discretizations of the gradient flow Discretizations of the modified gradient flow
---	---

For every scheme, we choose $\Phi = E$ (the stability result near a local minimizer also applies)

scheme	Cs	δ
IE (13)	$c_s = 1 - c_F \tau^\star/2$	$\delta = c_s$
LIE (14)	$c_{s}=1-L_{F} au^{\star}/2$	$\delta = c_{s}/(1+L_{F} au^{\star})^{2}$
EE (15)	$c_{s} = 1 - (\lambda_{d} + L_{F})\tau^{\star}/2$	$\delta = c_s/(1+(\lambda_d+L_F) au^{\star})^2$
S1LI (16)	$c_s = 1$	$\delta = 1/(1+(\mathcal{S}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}) au^{\star})^2$
S1I (17)	$c_{s} = 1$	$\delta = 1/(1+c_{F} au^{\star})^{2}$

Table : Conclusions

₽ > < E >

Example of a two-step scheme

We consider a second order linearly implicit scheme with fixed stepsize (S2LI): for all $n \ge 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2\tau}(3U^{n+1} - 4U^n + U^{n-1}) + AU^{n+1} + 2\nabla F(U^n) - \nabla F(U^{n-1}) = 0.$$
(18)

For the Lyapunov functional, we use a first order approximation of the energy E.

NB : other (stable) multistep (BDF) schemes can be considered in the same way, up to order 5, cf. **Stuart-Humphries'96**, **Bouchriti-Pierre-Alaa submitted**

A B A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Discretizations of the gradient flow Discretizations of the modified gradient flow

Discretizations of the modified gradient flow

$$\beta U'' + U' + AU + \nabla F(U) = 0, \quad t \ge 0,$$
(19)

where $U \in C^2(\mathbf{R}_+, \mathbf{R}^d)$ is the unknown, $\beta > 0$, A is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix of size d, and $F \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^d, \mathbf{R})$. Equation (19) is equivalent to the first-order system:

$$\begin{cases} U' = V \\ \beta V' = -V - AU - \nabla F(U). \end{cases}$$
(20)

A (1) > A (2) > A

Discretizations of the gradient flow Discretizations of the modified gradient flow

• Implicit Euler (IE): for $n \ge 0$,

$$\begin{cases} (U^{n+1} - U^n)/\tau_n = V^{n+1} \\ \beta(V^{n+1} - V^n)/\tau_n = -V^{n+1} - AU^{n+1} - \nabla F(U^{n+1}). \end{cases}$$
(21)

If the stepsize is constant, i.e. $\tau_n = \tau$ for all *n*, then by eliminating V^n , (21) is equivalent to the two-step scheme

$$\beta \frac{U^{n+1} - 2U^n + U^{n-1}}{\tau^2} + \frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\tau} + AU^{n+1} + \nabla F(U^{n+1}) = 0.$$

Moreover, if $\beta/\tau = 1/2$, the latter is (curiously) equivalent to a second order implicit discretization of the gradient flow;

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

• A linearly implicit first order scheme (LI)

$$\begin{cases} (U^{n+1} - U^n) / \tau_n = V^{n+1} \\ \beta (V^{n+1} - V^n) / \tau_n = -V^{n+1} - AU^{n+1} - \nabla F(U^n) \end{cases}$$
(22)

If $\tau_n = \tau$ for all *n*, this scheme is equivalent to

$$\beta \frac{U^{n+1} - 2U^n + U^{n-1}}{\tau^2} + \frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\tau} + AU^{n+1} + \nabla F(U^n) = 0;$$

• A stabilized first order linearly implicit scheme (S1LI):

$$\begin{cases} (U^{n+1} - U^n)/\tau_n = V^{n+1} \\ \beta(V^{n+1} - V^n)/\tau_n = -V^{n+1} - S(U^{n+1} - U^n) - AU^{n+1} - \nabla F(U^n) \\ \end{cases}$$
(23)

If $\tau_n = \tau$ for all *n*, this scheme is equivalent to

$$\beta \frac{U^{n+1} - 2U^n + U^{n-1}}{\tau^2} + (\frac{1}{\tau} + S)(U^{n+1} - U^n) + AU^{n+1} + \nabla F(U^n) = 0,$$

(日) (四) (전) (전) (전) (전)

Discretizations of the gradient flow Discretizations of the modified gradient flow

Theorem (Alaa and P.'13)

Assume that F satisfies (12), that $\tau^* < 1/\max\{L_F/2 - S, 0\}$ (with $S \ge 0$), and let $(U^n, V^n)_n$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ which complies with the S1LI scheme (23). If $(U^n)_n$ is bounded and if E satisfies the Łojasiewicz inequality near an accumulation point U^* of $(U^n)_n$, then

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}(U^n,V^n)=(U^\star,V^\star),$$

for some $V^* \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Moreover, the convergence rates of Theorem 3.4 are valid for any Łojasiewicz exponent of E near U^* .

A similar result for the IE scheme (see also **Grasselli and P.'12**). See also **Grasselli & P.'16** for a second order discretization of the MPFC.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Example 1: Galerkin approximation with analytic nonlinearity We consider a Galerkin approximation of the nonlinear damped wave equation

$$\beta u_{tt} + u_t - \Delta u + f'(u) = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0,$$
(24)

where $\beta \geq 0$, $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is real analytic, and Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbf{R}^N ($N \in \mathbf{N}^*$).

For the Galerkin approximation of (24), we assume that

 V^h is a finite dimensional subspace of $H^1(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, (25)

(FE or spectral discretization) The space discrete variational formulation of (24) reads : find $u^h : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\beta(u_{tt}^h,\varphi^h) + (u_t^h,\varphi^h) + (\nabla u^h,\nabla\varphi^h) + (f'(u^h),\varphi^h) = 0 \ \forall \varphi^h \in V^h,$$
(26)

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the $L^2(\Omega)$ -scalar product,

Choosing an orthonormal basis of V^h , (26) is equivalent to its matrix version:

$$\beta U'' + U' + AU + \nabla F(U) = 0 \quad t \ge 0, \tag{27}$$

Allen-Cahn equation. We assume that $\beta = 0$ (parabolic case) and

$$f(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{2p} a_k s^k \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{R} \quad (a_{2p} > 0),$$

for some $p \in \mathbf{N}^*$. Then f is analytic, coercive and satisfies the one-sided Lipschitz condition for some constant $c_f \ge 0$. We can apply the convergence results for all the implicit schemes. sine-Gordon equation. We assume that $\beta > 0$, that

$$f'(s) = a \sin s \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{R} \quad (a > 0),$$

and that $V^h \subset H^1_0(\Omega)$. The convergence results apply for the 3 schemes considered.

Example 1 : Galerkin approximation with analytic nonlinearity Example 2: FE with truncated potential

FE with numerical integration and truncated potential We assume that V^h is a P^k or Q^k FE space. We use a numerical integration $(\cdot, \cdot)_h$ with some basic properties. The space discretization reads: find $u^h : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ such that

 $\beta(u_{tt}^h,\varphi^h)_h + (u_t^h,\varphi^h)_h + (\nabla u^h,\nabla\varphi^h)_h + (f'(u^h),\varphi^h)_h = 0 \ \forall \varphi^h \in V^h.$ (28)

Its matrix version is again

$$\beta U'' + U' + AU + \nabla F(U) = 0 \quad t \ge 0,$$
(29)

Example 1 : Galerkin approximation with analytic nonlinearity Example 2: FE with truncated potential

▲ @ ▶ ▲ @ ▶ ▲

The function f is the truncated potential

$$f(s) = egin{cases} (s^2-1)^2/4 & ext{if } |s| \leq M, \ (3M^2-1)s^2/2 - 2M^3|s| + (3M^4+1)/4 & ext{if } |s| > M. \end{cases}$$

Then f' is Lipschitz continuous on \mathbf{R} , and we can show that $E(V) = \langle AV, V \rangle / 2 + F(V)$ is **subanalytic**. In particular, by **Bolte, Daniilidis & Lewis'06**, E satisfies the Lojasiewicz inequality near every $U \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Thus, we have convergence to equilibrium for every scheme

considered ($\beta = 0$ and $\beta > 0$).

Convergence to equilibrium in infinite dimension

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + f(u) dx$$
, with $f(u) = (u^2 - 1)^2 / 4$.

 Ω a bounded domain or \mathbf{R}^N , $1 \le N \le 3$.

Theorem (A Lojasiewicz-Simon inequality)

Let
$$u^* \in H^1_0(\Omega)$$
. There exist $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\sigma > 0$ s.t. $\forall u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$,

$$\|u-u^{\star}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} < \sigma \Rightarrow |\mathcal{E}(u)-\mathcal{E}(u^{\star})|^{1-\theta} \leq \|-\Delta u+f'(u)\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}.$$

The backward Euler scheme with fixed time step $\tau > 0$ for AC reads: let $u^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and for n = 0, 1, ... let $u^{n+1} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ solve

$$\frac{u^{n+1}-u^n}{\tau} - \Delta u^{n+1} + f'(u^{n+1}) = 0.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Convergence to equilibrium in infinite dimension

issues: Lojasiewicz-Simon inequality, choice of norms, pre-compactness of trajectories

- A descent method (discretized nonlocal CH): Gajewski & Griepentrog'06
- 1st order time semi-discrete for AC: [Merlet & P.'10], [Bolte, Daniilidis, Ley, Mazet'10]
- 2nd order time semi-discrete for CH (BDF2): [Antonietti, Merlet, P. & Verani]'16
- BDF3, 4 and 5 for AC: [Bouchriti, P., Alaa submitted]
- 1st order time semi-discrete for MAC: [P. & Rogeon'16]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Convergence to equilibrium in infinite dimension

issues: Lojasiewicz-Simon inequality, choice of norms, pre-compactness of trajectories

- A descent method (discretized nonlocal CH): Gajewski & Griepentrog'06
- 1st order time semi-discrete for AC: [Merlet & P.'10], [Bolte, Daniilidis, Ley, Mazet'10]
- 2nd order time semi-discrete for CH (BDF2): [Antonietti, Merlet, P. & Verani]'16
- BDF3, 4 and 5 for AC: [Bouchriti, P., Alaa submitted]
- 1st order time semi-discrete for MAC: [P. & Rogeon'16]
- BDF6 for AC: open case (gradient-flow structure ?)
- 2nd order time semi-discrete for MAC: **open case** (pre-compactness of trajectories ?)
- Semi-implicit schemes: **open case** (Lojasiewicz-Simon inequality ?)

Global dynamics: a 1d example

$$u_t - \nu \Delta u + u^3 - u = 0, \quad x \in [0, 1], \ t \ge 0, \ (\nu > 0)$$

 $u(0, t) = u(1, t) = -1, \ t \ge 0.$

Due to the gradient flow structure and the boundary condition, the global attractor is $\mathcal{A} = \{-1\}$.

The problem has many metastable "almost stationary" equilibria which live up to a time $t^* \approx e^{\nu^{-1/2}}$.

Allen-Cahn animation for $\nu = 0.0016$

(日) (四) (전) (전) (전) (전)

Thank you !

Morgan PIERRE About discretized Allen-Cahn equations...

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

æ