

The Hirota-Satsuma Equation. A model for surface water waves.

Jerry Bona, Rafael Iorio and Didier Pilod

University of Illinois at Chicago
Instituto de Matematica Pura e Aplicada
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

June 10, 2011

Models for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid

Models for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid

- 1877,1895 Boussinesq - Korteweg and de Vries

$$u_t + u_x + \epsilon u_{xxx} + \epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

Models for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid

- 1877,1895 Boussinesq - Korteweg and de Vries

$$u_t + u_x + \epsilon u_{xxx} + \epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1972 Benjamin-Bona-Mahony

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{xxt} + \epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

Models for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid

- 1877,1895 Boussinesq - Korteweg and de Vries

$$u_t + u_x + \epsilon u_{xxx} + \epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1972 Benjamin-Bona-Mahony

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{xxt} + \epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1976 Hirota-Satsuma

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{xxt} - \epsilon uu_t + \epsilon u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0.$$

Models for the unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid

- 1877,1895 Boussinesq - Korteweg and de Vries

$$u_t + u_x + \epsilon u_{xxx} + \epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1972 Benjamin-Bona-Mahony

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{xxt} + \epsilon uu_x = 0.$$

- 1976 Hirota-Satsuma

$$u_t + u_x - \epsilon u_{xxt} - \epsilon uu_t + \epsilon u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0.$$

$u = u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$, $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and ϵ is a small parameter that is a measure of both the small wave amplitude and the long wavelength.

Hirota-Satsuma equation

Note that since $u_t = -u_x + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \Rightarrow$ these models are formally equivalent.

Hirota-Satsuma equation

Note that since $u_t = -u_x + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \Rightarrow$ these models are formally equivalent.

A simple rescaling allows us to fix $\epsilon = 1$; the Hirota-Satsuma equation then takes the form

$$u_t + u_x - u_{xxt} - uu_t + u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0. \quad (\text{HS})$$

Hirota-Satsuma equation

Note that since $u_t = -u_x + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \Rightarrow$ these models are formally equivalent.

A simple rescaling allows us to fix $\epsilon = 1$; the Hirota-Satsuma equation then takes the form

$$u_t + u_x - u_{xxt} - uu_t + u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0. \quad (\text{HS})$$

Hirota-Satsuma equation

Note that since $u_t = -u_x + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \Rightarrow$ these models are formally equivalent.

A simple rescaling allows us to fix $\epsilon = 1$; the Hirota-Satsuma equation then takes the form

$$u_t + u_x - u_{xxt} - uu_t + u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0. \quad (\text{HS})$$

1– Well-posedness theory in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Hirota-Satsuma equation

Note that since $u_t = -u_x + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \Rightarrow$ these models are formally equivalent.

A simple rescaling allows us to fix $\epsilon = 1$; the Hirota-Satsuma equation then takes the form

$$u_t + u_x - u_{xxt} - uu_t + u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0. \quad (\text{HS})$$

- 1– Well-posedness theory in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.
- 2– Existence and stability of solitary-wave solutions.

Hirota-Satsuma equation

Note that since $u_t = -u_x + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \Rightarrow$ these models are formally equivalent.

A simple rescaling allows us to fix $\epsilon = 1$; the Hirota-Satsuma equation then takes the form

$$u_t + u_x - u_{xxt} - uu_t + u_x \int_x^\infty u_t dx' = 0. \quad (\text{HS})$$

- 1– Well-posedness theory in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.
- 2– Existence and stability of solitary-wave solutions.
- 3– Comparison between Hirota-Satsuma and BBM.

Equation in quasilinear form

Observing that

$$\partial_x \left(u \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' \right) = u_x \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' - uu_t,$$

Equation in quasilinear form

Observing that

$$\partial_x \left(u \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' \right) = u_x \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' - uu_t,$$

(HS) may be transformed to a quasilinear form, *viz.*

$$u_t = -\partial_x(1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1}u, \quad (1)$$

Equation in quasilinear form

Observing that

$$\partial_x \left(u \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' \right) = u_x \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' - uu_t,$$

(HS) may be transformed to a quasilinear form, *viz.*

$$u_t = -\partial_x (1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1} u, \quad (1)$$

as long as $(1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1}$ exists.

Equation in quasilinear form

Observing that

$$\partial_x \left(u \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' \right) = u_x \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' - uu_t,$$

(HS) may be transformed to a quasilinear form, *viz.*

$$u_t = -\partial_x (1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1} u, \quad (1)$$

as long as $(1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1}$ exists.

Define the set

$$\Omega_1 := \{ \phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : -1 \notin \text{spec}(-\partial_x^2 - \phi) \}.$$

Equation in quasilinear form

Observing that

$$\partial_x \left(u \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' \right) = u_x \int_x^{+\infty} u_t dx' - uu_t,$$

(HS) may be transformed to a quasilinear form, *viz.*

$$u_t = -\partial_x (1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1} u, \quad (1)$$

as long as $(1 - \partial_x^2 - u)^{-1}$ exists.

Define the set

$$\Omega_1 := \{ \phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : -1 \notin \text{spec}(-\partial_x^2 - \phi) \}.$$

Lemma

Ω_1 is an open subspace of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Local well-posedness

For any $\phi \in \Omega_1$, denote

$$r_j(\phi) := \|\partial_x^j (-\partial_x^2 - \phi + 1)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)}. \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

Local well-posedness

For any $\phi \in \Omega_1$, denote

$$r_j(\phi) := \|\partial_x^j (-\partial_x^2 - \phi + 1)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)}. \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

Theorem

Let $u_0 \in \Omega_1$. Then $\exists T = T(u_0) > 0$ and $\exists! u \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ a solution of (HS) such that $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$. Moreover the flow map $u_0 \mapsto u$ is smooth.

Local well-posedness

For any $\phi \in \Omega_1$, denote

$$r_j(\phi) := \|\partial_x^j (-\partial_x^2 - \phi + 1)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)}. \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

Theorem

Let $u_0 \in \Omega_1$. Then $\exists T = T(u_0) > 0$ and $\exists! u \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ a solution of (HS) such that $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$. Moreover the flow map $u_0 \mapsto u$ is smooth.

Observation: $T = T(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, r_j(u_0), j = 0, 1, 2)$.

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\varphi'' + \varphi - \varphi^2 = 0$. Then

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\varphi'' + \varphi - \varphi^2 = 0$. Then

- $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{F}_1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$;

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\varphi'' + \varphi - \varphi^2 = 0$. Then

- $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{F}_1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$;
- the best constant C^* in the Sobolev embedding $\|\phi\|_{L^3} \leq C^* \|\phi\|_{H^1}$, is attained for φ^* ;

Structure of Ω_1

Let φ^* the solution of $-\phi'' + \phi - \phi^2 = 0$. Then

- $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{F}_1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$;
- the best constant C^* in the Sobolev embedding $\|\phi\|_{L^3} \leq C^* \|\phi\|_{H^1}$, is attained for φ^* ;
 $\Rightarrow E^* := E(\varphi^*) = \frac{1}{3} \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^2$ and $C^* = \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ where

$$E(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi'(x)^2 - \frac{1}{6} \phi(x)^3 \right) dx,$$

Structure of Ω_1

Lemma

$$B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}) := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \mid \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\} \subset \Omega_1.$$

Structure of Ω_1

Lemma

$$B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}) := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \mid \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\} \subset \Omega_1.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$.

Structure of Ω_1

Lemma

$$B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}) := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \mid \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\} \subset \Omega_1.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$. Then there exists $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $-\psi'' + \psi - \phi\psi = 0$.

Structure of Ω_1

Lemma

$$B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}) := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \mid \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\} \subset \Omega_1.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$. Then there exists $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $-\psi'' + \psi - \phi\psi = 0$. \Rightarrow

$$\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi\psi^2 dx \leq \|\phi\|_{L^3} \|\psi\|_{L^3}^2 \leq C^{\star 3} \|\phi\|_{H^1} \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Structure of Ω_1

Lemma

$$B(0, \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}) := \{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \mid \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}\} \subset \Omega_1.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_1$. Then there exists $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $-\psi'' + \psi - \phi\psi = 0$. \Rightarrow

$$\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi\psi^2 dx \leq \|\phi\|_{L^3} \|\psi\|_{L^3}^2 \leq C^{\star 3} \|\phi\|_{H^1} \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2.$$

However, because $C^{\star} = \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, this is a contradiction.

Global well-posedness

Theorem

Let $u_0 \in B(0, \|\varphi^\|_{H^1})$ be such that $E(u_0) < E(\varphi^*)$. Then the local solution u of (HS) extends globally in time.*

Solitary waves

- For $c > 0$, (HS) admits special solutions of the form

$$u_c(x, t) = \phi_\mu(x - (1 + c)t), \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu(x) = 0,$$

where ϕ_μ is solution to

$$-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mu = \frac{c}{1 + c} \in (0, 1).$$

Solitary waves

- For $c > 0$, (HS) admits special solutions of the form

$$u_c(x, t) = \phi_\mu(x - (1 + c)t), \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu(x) = 0,$$

where ϕ_μ is solution to

$$-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mu = \frac{c}{1 + c} \in (0, 1).$$

- $\|\phi_\mu\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}$ and $E(\phi_\mu) < E(\varphi^*)$.

Solitary waves

- For $c > 0$, (HS) admits special solutions of the form

$$u_c(x, t) = \phi_\mu(x - (1 + c)t), \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu(x) = 0,$$

where ϕ_μ is solution to

$$-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mu = \frac{c}{1 + c} \in (0, 1).$$

- $\|\phi_\mu\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}$ and $E(\phi_\mu) < E(\varphi^*)$.
- \Rightarrow *Question:* Are these solitary waves stable?

Ill-posedness

Observe that

$$\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^* \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Ill-posedness

Observe that

$$\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^* \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

If the flow map $S:\text{data} \mapsto \text{solution}$ for (HS) extends continuously to φ^* ,

Ill-posedness

Observe that

$$\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^* \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

If the flow map S : data \mapsto solution for (HS) extends continuously to φ^* , then the corresponding solution u^* would satisfy

$$u_c = S\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} u^* \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T^*]).$$

Ill-posedness

Observe that

$$\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^* \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

If the flow map S : data \mapsto solution for (HS) extends continuously to φ^* , then the corresponding solution u^* would satisfy

$$u_c = S\phi_\mu \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} u^* \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T^*]).$$

This cannot happen since for all $R > 0$,

$$u_c \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty((-R, R) \times (0, T^*]).$$

Stability result

Theorem

Let $c > 0$ and $\mu = \frac{c}{1+c}$. Then the solitary-wave solution u_c is **orbitally stable** in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$,

Stability result

Theorem

Let $c > 0$ and $\mu = \frac{c}{1+c}$. Then the solitary-wave solution u_c is **orbitally stable** in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e.

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$, such that if

$$\|u_0 - \phi_\mu\|_{H^2} < \delta,$$

then $\forall t > 0, \exists \gamma = \gamma(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \gamma)\|_{H^2} < \epsilon,$$

where u is the solution emanating from u_0 .

Stability result

Theorem

Let $c > 0$ and $\mu = \frac{c}{1+c}$. Then the solitary-wave solution u_c is **orbitally stable** in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e.

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$, such that if

$$\|u_0 - \phi_\mu\|_{H^2} < \delta,$$

then $\forall t > 0, \exists \gamma = \gamma(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \gamma)\|_{H^2} < \epsilon,$$

where u is the solution emanating from u_0 .

Moreover, γ can be chosen as a C^1 function satisfying

$$|\gamma'(t) + (1+c)| \leq C\epsilon, \forall t > 0.$$

Strategy of the proof

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1 - \phi_\mu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu - 2\phi_\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\mu := -\phi'_\mu \frac{d}{dx} + \phi''_\mu.$$

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1 - \phi_\mu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu - 2\phi_\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\mu := -\phi'_\mu \frac{d}{dx} + \phi''_\mu.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda''(\phi_\mu) \left(\frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu}, \frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu} \right) = -d''(\mu) < 0, \quad \text{where} \quad d(\mu) = \Lambda(\phi_\mu).$$

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1 - \phi_\mu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu - 2\phi_\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\mu := -\phi'_\mu \frac{d}{dx} + \phi''_\mu.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda''(\phi_\mu) \left(\frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu}, \frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu} \right) = -d''(\mu) < 0, \quad \text{where} \quad d(\mu) = \Lambda(\phi_\mu).$$

- Variational problem:

$$(V_\lambda) \begin{cases} \text{Minimize } F(\phi) \text{ on the admissible set of functions} \\ I_\lambda = \{ \phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid E(\phi) = \lambda \text{ and } \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1} \}. \end{cases}$$

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1 - \phi_\mu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu - 2\phi_\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\mu := -\phi'_\mu \frac{d}{dx} + \phi''_\mu.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda''(\phi_\mu) \left(\frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu}, \frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu} \right) = -d''(\mu) < 0, \quad \text{where} \quad d(\mu) = \Lambda(\phi_\mu).$$

- Variational problem:

$$(V_\lambda) \begin{cases} \text{Minimize } F(\phi) \text{ on the admissible set of functions} \\ I_\lambda = \{ \phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid E(\phi) = \lambda \text{ and } \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1} \}. \end{cases}$$

Problem: E and F involve higher-order derivatives and are not homogeneous...

Strategy of the proof

- Consider the functional $\Lambda(\phi) := F(\phi) + \mu E(\phi)$.

Then, $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi$ is a solution to $-\phi'' + \mu\phi - \phi^2 = 0$.

- $\Lambda''(\phi_\mu)(h_1, h_2) = (\mathcal{M}_\mu h_1, h_2)_{L^2}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1 - \phi_\mu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu - 2\phi_\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\mu := -\phi'_\mu \frac{d}{dx} + \phi''_\mu.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda''(\phi_\mu) \left(\frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu}, \frac{d\phi_\mu}{d\mu} \right) = -d''(\mu) < 0, \quad \text{where} \quad d(\mu) = \Lambda(\phi_\mu).$$

- Variational problem:

$$(V_\lambda) \begin{cases} \text{Minimize } F(\phi) \text{ on the admissible set of functions} \\ I_\lambda = \{ \phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid E(\phi) = \lambda \text{ and } \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1} \}. \end{cases}$$

Problem: E and F involve higher-order derivatives and are not homogeneous...

How to prevent a minimizing sequence from dichotomizing?

Lopes theorem

Theorem (Lopes)

Let $E, F : H^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be translation invariant, C^2 -functionals satisfying the technical condition:

Lopes theorem

Theorem (Lopes)

Let $E, F : H^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be translation invariant, C^2 -functionals satisfying the technical condition: +

(C) If a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) , $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ in H^2 , where ϕ is solution to $\Lambda'(\phi) = F'(\phi) + \mu E'(\phi) = 0$, then $\exists h \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\Lambda''(\phi)(h, h) < 0$.

Lopes theorem

Theorem (Lopes)

Let $E, F : H^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be translation invariant, C^2 -functionals satisfying the technical condition: +

(C) If a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) , $\phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi$ in H^2 , where ϕ is solution to $\Lambda'(\phi) = F'(\phi) + \mu E'(\phi) = 0$, then $\exists h \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\Lambda''(\phi)(h, h) < 0$.

- Then for any minimizing sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ for (V_λ) , if $\phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi \neq 0$ in H^2 then $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ in $W^{1,p}$, $2 < p \leq \infty$.

Moreover, the limit ϕ is a non-trivial solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation $\Lambda'(\phi) = 0$.

Technical results

Lemma

Let $\{\phi_n\}$ a bounded sequence of H^2 . Then

$$(\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } H^2, \forall (c_n) \subset \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow (\phi_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } W^{1,p}, 2 < p \leq \infty).$$

Technical results

Lemma

Let $\{\phi_n\}$ a bounded sequence of H^2 . Then

$$(\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } H^2, \forall (c_n) \subset \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow (\phi_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } W^{1,p}, 2 < p \leq \infty).$$

Lemma

If $\{\phi_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) such that $\phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, then $\|\phi\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}$.

Technical results

Lemma (Monotonicity)

- (i) $e : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, e^*)$, $\mu \mapsto E(\phi_\mu)$ is a strictly increasing bijection.
- (ii) $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow (f^*, 0)$, $\mu \mapsto F(\phi_\mu)$ is a strictly decreasing bijection.

where $e^* := E(\varphi^*)$ and $f^* := F(\varphi^*)$.

Existence of global minimizers

Proposition

Let $\lambda \in (0, e^*)$, and let $\{\phi_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) .
Then, \exists a sequence $\{c_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightarrow \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau), \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

with $\mu = e^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Existence of global minimizers

Proposition

Let $\lambda \in (0, e^*)$, and let $\{\phi_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) .
Then, \exists a sequence $\{c_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightarrow \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau), \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

with $\mu = e^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Proof

- Let $\{\phi_n\}$ a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then $\{\phi_n\}$ is bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Existence of global minimizers

Proposition

Let $\lambda \in (0, e^*)$, and let $\{\phi_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then, \exists a sequence $\{c_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightarrow \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau), \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

with $\mu = e^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Proof

- Let $\{\phi_n\}$ a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then $\{\phi_n\}$ is bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- $\exists \{c_n\}$, $\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\psi_n = \phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightharpoonup \phi \neq 0$, in H^2 .

Existence of global minimizers

Proposition

Let $\lambda \in (0, e^*)$, and let $\{\phi_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) .
Then, \exists a sequence $\{c_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightarrow \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau), \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

with $\mu = e^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Proof

- Let $\{\phi_n\}$ a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then $\{\phi_n\}$ is bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- $\exists \{c_n\}$, $\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\psi_n = \phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightharpoonup \phi \neq 0$, in H^2 .
- $\{\psi_n\}$ is still a minimizing sequence. Lopes theorem \Rightarrow

Existence of global minimizers

Proposition

Let $\lambda \in (0, e^*)$, and let $\{\phi_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then, \exists a sequence $\{c_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightarrow \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau), \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

with $\mu = e^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Proof

- Let $\{\phi_n\}$ a minimizing sequence for (V_λ) . Then $\{\phi_n\}$ is bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- $\exists \{c_n\}$, $\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\psi_n = \phi_n(\cdot + c_n) \rightarrow \phi \neq 0$, in H^2 .
- $\{\psi_n\}$ is still a minimizing sequence. Lopes theorem $\Rightarrow \psi_n \rightarrow \phi$ in $W^{1,p}$, $2 < p \leq \infty$, and $\phi = \phi_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \alpha \leq \mu.$$

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \alpha \leq \mu.$$

- If $0 < \alpha < \mu$,

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \alpha \leq \mu.$$

- If $0 < \alpha < \mu$, monotonicity lemma \Rightarrow
 $f(\alpha) = F(\phi_\alpha) > F(\phi_\mu) \geq F_\lambda.$

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \alpha \leq \mu.$$

- If $0 < \alpha < \mu$, monotonicity lemma \Rightarrow
 $f(\alpha) = F(\phi_\alpha) > F(\phi_\mu) \geq F_\lambda$. *contradiction.*

Proof of the proposition

- Passing to the limit,

$$F(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(F(\psi_n)) = F_\lambda \text{ and } E(\phi_\alpha) \leq \liminf(E(\psi_n)) = \lambda.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \alpha \leq \mu.$$

- If $0 < \alpha < \mu$, monotonicity lemma \Rightarrow
 $f(\alpha) = F(\phi_\alpha) > F(\phi_\mu) \geq F_\lambda$. *contradiction.*

- Then

$$\alpha = \mu, F(\phi_\mu) = F_\lambda, E(\phi_\mu) = \lambda, \text{ and } \|\psi_n\|_{H^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\phi_\mu\|_{H^2}.$$



Proof of the theorem

- *By contradiction.*

Proof of the theorem

- *By contradiction.* Suppose that $\exists \epsilon > 0$, $\{\psi_n\} \subset H^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, s.t.

$$\psi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu \text{ in } H^2, \text{ and } \inf_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_n(\cdot, t_n) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)\|_{H^2} \geq \epsilon.$$

Proof of the theorem

- *By contradiction.* Suppose that $\exists \epsilon > 0$, $\{\psi_n\} \subset H^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, s.t.

$$\psi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu \text{ in } H^2, \text{ and } \inf_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_n(\cdot, t_n) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)\|_{H^2} \geq \epsilon.$$

- Define $f_n := u_n(\cdot, t_n)$.

Proof of the theorem

- *By contradiction.* Suppose that $\exists \epsilon > 0$, $\{\psi_n\} \subset H^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, s.t.

$$\psi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu \text{ in } H^2, \text{ and } \inf_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_n(\cdot, t_n) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)\|_{H^2} \geq \epsilon.$$

- Define $f_n := u_n(\cdot, t_n)$. Then

$$\|f_n\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}, \quad E(f_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda, \quad \text{and} \quad F(f_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_\lambda.$$

Proof of the theorem

- *By contradiction.* Suppose that $\exists \epsilon > 0$, $\{\psi_n\} \subset H^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, s.t.

$$\psi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_\mu \text{ in } H^2, \text{ and } \inf_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_n(\cdot, t_n) - \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)\|_{H^2} \geq \epsilon.$$

- Define $f_n := u_n(\cdot, t_n)$. Then

$$\|f_n\|_{H^1} < \|\varphi^*\|_{H^1}, \quad E(f_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda, \text{ and } F(f_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_\lambda.$$

- $\exists f \neq 0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} f$ in H^2 and $\exists h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $E'(f)h \neq 0$.

Proof of the theorem

- Consider the polynomial

$$P_n(t) = E(f_n + th) = a_n + b_n t + c_n t^2 + dt^3.$$

Then $a_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $b_n \rightarrow E'(f)h \neq 0$ and $c_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}E''(f)(h, h)$.

Proof of the theorem

- Consider the polynomial

$$P_n(t) = E(f_n + th) = a_n + b_n t + c_n t^2 + dt^3.$$

Then $a_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $b_n \rightarrow E'(f)h \neq 0$ and $c_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}E''(f)(h, h)$.

- $\Rightarrow \exists \{t_n\}$ s.t. $P_n(t_n) = \lambda$ and $t_n \rightarrow 0$,

Proof of the theorem

- Consider the polynomial

$$P_n(t) = E(f_n + th) = a_n + b_n t + c_n t^2 + dt^3.$$

Then $a_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $b_n \rightarrow E'(f)h \neq 0$ and $c_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}E''(f)(h, h)$.

- $\Rightarrow \exists \{t_n\}$ s.t. $P_n(t_n) = \lambda$ and $t_n \rightarrow 0$, so that

$$E(f_n + t_n h) = \lambda \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n + t_n h) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n) = F_\lambda$$

Proof of the theorem

- Consider the polynomial

$$P_n(t) = E(f_n + th) = a_n + b_n t + c_n t^2 + dt^3.$$

Then $a_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $b_n \rightarrow E'(f)h \neq 0$ and $c_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}E''(f)(h, h)$.

- $\Rightarrow \exists \{t_n\}$ s.t. $P_n(t_n) = \lambda$ and $t_n \rightarrow 0$, so that

$$E(f_n + t_n h) = \lambda \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n + t_n h) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n) = F_\lambda$$

- The Proposition $\Rightarrow \exists \{c_n\}$, τ s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(\cdot + c_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_n(\cdot + c_n) = \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)$$

Proof of the theorem

- Consider the polynomial

$$P_n(t) = E(f_n + th) = a_n + b_n t + c_n t^2 + dt^3.$$

Then $a_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $b_n \rightarrow E'(f)h \neq 0$ and $c_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}E''(f)(h, h)$.

- $\Rightarrow \exists \{t_n\}$ s.t. $P_n(t_n) = \lambda$ and $t_n \rightarrow 0$, so that

$$E(f_n + t_n h) = \lambda \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n + t_n h) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n) = F_\lambda$$

- The Proposition $\Rightarrow \exists \{c_n\}$, τ s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(\cdot + c_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_n(\cdot + c_n) = \phi_\mu(\cdot + \tau)$$




Contradiction

Comparison between model equations

Happy Birthday!!!

Happy Birthday, Jerry!!!

References

-  J. L. Bona, D. Pilod, *Stability of solitary-wave solutions to the Hirota-Satsuma equation*, to appear in *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst.* (2010).
-  R. Iório, D. Pilod, *Well-Posedness for Hirota-Satsuma's Equation*, *Diff. Int. Equations* **21** (2008), 1177-1192.
-  O. Lopes, *Nonlocal variational problems arising in long wave propagation*, *ESAIM: Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **5** (2000), 501–528.