

WEAK MAJORIZATION PROPERTIES OF STOCHASTIC COMPARISONS

Eugen Păltănea
Universitatea *Transilvania* din Braşov

*10^{ème} Colloque Franco-Roumain de
Mathématiques Appliquées*
26-31 Août 2010, Poitiers, France

- 1 Preliminaries
 - Topic
 - Stochastic orders and relations
 - Majorization and related orders
 - Parametric classes of distributions
- 2 Comparison of convolutions
 - Exponential distribution
 - Geometric distribution
- 3 Comparison of order statistics
 - General results
 - Second order statistic
 - Mixtures of order statistics
- 4 References

Overview on the topic

- *position*: applied probabilities
- *survey*: comparison of (positive) random variables (*lifetimes*)
- *development* exponential growth in the last 45 years
- *interest*: reliability theory, statistics (survival analysis), operations research, financial mathematics,...

A fundamental book:

Shaked & Shanthikumar, *Stochastic Orders*, 2007

Presentation

Aim:

- relevant majorization type orders
- review of some recent advances in *parametric stochastic comparison* of *convolutions* and *order statistics*
- proposed extensions

Usual stochastic order

Let X and Y be two positive random variables with distribution functions F_X and F_Y , survival functions \bar{F}_X and \bar{F}_Y , and densities f_X and f_Y , respectively.

- X is greater than Y in *the usual stochastic order*, denoted by $X \geq_{st} Y$, if

$$P\{X > t\} = \bar{F}_X(t) \geq \bar{F}_Y(t) = P\{Y > t\}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

The hazard rate order

- *The hazard rate order*: $X \geq_{hr} Y$ if

$$P\{X - t > u | X > t\} \geq P\{Y - t > u | Y > t\} \text{ (i.e. } \bar{F}_X / \bar{F}_Y \uparrow),$$

for all $t, u \geq 0$.

- *The hazard rate function* of a r.v. X : $h_X = \frac{f_X}{\bar{F}_X}$.
- Equivalent definition:

$$X \geq_{hr} Y \Leftrightarrow h_X(t) \leq h_Y(t), \forall t \geq 0.$$

- X is *IFR (Increasing Failure Rate)*
if $h_X \uparrow$ (\bar{F} - logconcave)

Other stochastic orders

- *the likelihood ratio order*: $X \geq_{lr} Y$
if $f_X(t)/f_Y(t)$ is increasing in $t \geq 0$;
- *the mean residual life order*: $X \geq_{mrl} Y$
if $\frac{1}{\bar{F}_X(t)} \int_t^\infty \bar{F}_X(u) du \geq \frac{1}{\bar{F}_Y(t)} \int_t^\infty \bar{F}_Y(u) du$, for all $t \geq 0$;
- *increasing convex order*: $X \geq_{icx} Y$
if $\int_t^\infty \bar{F}_X(u) du \geq \int_t^\infty \bar{F}_Y(u) du$, $\forall t \geq 0$.

Other stochastic orders

- *the dispersive order*: $X \geq_{disp} Y$
if $F_X^{-1}(v) - F_X^{-1}(u) \geq F_Y^{-1}(v) - F_Y^{-1}(u)$, for all
 $0 \leq u \leq v \leq 1$.

Classical relations

The following relations hold:

- $X \geq_{lr} Y \Rightarrow X \geq_{hr} Y \Rightarrow X \geq_{mrl, st} Y \Rightarrow X \geq_{ics} Y$;
- $X \geq_{disp} Y$ and X (or Y) is *IFR* $\Rightarrow X \geq_{hr} Y$
- $X \leq_{disp} Y \Rightarrow \text{Var}(X) \leq \text{Var}(Y)$.

Majorization

Definition

Let $\lambda_{(1)} \leq \lambda_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{(n)}$ be the *increasing arrangement of the components* of $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The *majorization order*: $\bar{\lambda} \stackrel{m}{\succeq} \bar{\mu}$ if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_{(i)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_{(i)} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{(i)}.$$

Schur convexity, weak majorization

Definition

- ① A permutation symmetric function $f : D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *Schur convex* if

$$\bar{\lambda} \stackrel{m}{\succeq} \bar{\mu} \Rightarrow f(\bar{\lambda}) \geq f(\bar{\mu}).$$

- ② *Weak (increasing) majorization order*: $\bar{\lambda} \stackrel{w\uparrow}{\succeq} \bar{\mu}$ if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_{(i)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{(i)} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Weak majorization type orders

Definition

- ① *p*-larger order (Bon & Păltănea, 1999)

Assume $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu} \in (0, \infty)^n$. Then $\bar{\lambda} \succeq_p \bar{\mu}$ if

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_{(i)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \mu_{(i)} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

- ② reciprocal majorization (Zhao & Balakrishnan, 2009b)

Assume $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu} \in (0, \infty)^n$. Then $\bar{\lambda} \succeq^{rm} \bar{\mu}$ if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_{(i)}} \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\mu_{(i)}} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Relations between the majorization type orders

Theorem

① (*Khaledi & Kochar, 2002*)

$$\bar{\lambda} \underset{\subseteq}{\succ}^m \bar{\mu} \Rightarrow \bar{\lambda} \underset{\subseteq}{\succ}^p \bar{\mu}$$

② (*Kochar & Xu, 2010*)

$$\bar{\lambda} \underset{\subseteq}{\succ}^p \bar{\mu} \Rightarrow \bar{\lambda} \underset{\subseteq}{\succ}^{rm} \bar{\mu}$$

③

$$\bar{\lambda} \underset{\subseteq}{\succ}^{w\uparrow} \bar{\mu} \Rightarrow \bar{\lambda} \underset{\subseteq}{\succ}^p \bar{\mu}$$

PHR model

Definition

Let \bar{F} be a survival function (of some positive r. v. X).
Then independent r. v.'s X_1, \dots, X_n follow the *PHR model*
(Proportional Hazard Rates) if there exists
 $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) > 0$ such that:

$$\bar{F}_{X_i}(t) = (\bar{F}(t))^{\lambda_i}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Equivalent definition of *PHR model*:

$$h_{X_i}(t) = \lambda_i h(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where h is the hazard rate of X .

PRV model

Definition

Let \bar{F} be a survival function (of some positive r. v. X).
Then independent r. v.'s X_1, \dots, X_n follow the *PRV model*
(Proportional Random Variables) if there exists
 $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) > 0$ such that:

$$\bar{F}_{X_i}(t) = \bar{F}(\lambda_i t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Equivalent definition of *PRV model*:

$$X_i = U_i / \lambda_i, \quad t \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where U_1, \dots, U_n are iid, with $U_i \sim X$.

Example

Definition

$X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ (*exponential distribution of parameter λ*)
if $\bar{F}_X(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$, $t \geq 0$, where $\lambda > 0$.

Remark

- ① For the *exponential distribution*, PHR and PRV are equivalent models:

$$\bar{F}(t) = e^{-t} \Rightarrow (\bar{F}(t))^\lambda = \bar{F}(\lambda t) = e^{-\lambda t}.$$

- ② $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ has:
- *memoryless property* (\mapsto Markov processes)
 - *constant hazard rate λ*

Classical results

Theorem

Let X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be two sets of independent exponential r. v.'s with respective parameters vectors $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$.

- ① (Proschan & Sethuraman, 1976)

$$\bar{\lambda} \stackrel{m}{\succeq} \bar{\mu} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq_{st} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i.$$

- ② (Boland, El-Newehi & Proschan, 1994)

$$\bar{\lambda} \stackrel{m}{\succeq} \bar{\mu} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq_{lr} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i.$$

Extensions

Theorem

Let X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be indep. exp. r. v.'s with parameters $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$.

Denote $X_{(i)}$ the r.v. corresponding to parameter $\lambda_{(i)}$

$Y_{(i)}$ the r.v. corresponding to parameter $\mu_{(i)}$.

Then:

- 1 $\bar{\lambda} \stackrel{w\uparrow}{\succeq} \bar{\mu} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k X_{(i)} \geq_{lr} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{(i)} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n);$
- 2 $\bar{\lambda} \stackrel{p}{\succeq} \bar{\mu} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k X_{(i)} \geq_{hr, st, disp} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{(i)} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n);$
- 3 $\bar{\lambda} \stackrel{rm}{\succeq} \bar{\mu} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k X_{(i)} \geq_{mrl, icx} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{(i)} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n).$

References

For the proof, we make use of some recent characterizations.
More specifically,

Proof of (1): Zhao & Balakrishnan, 2009a;

Proof of (2): Bon & Păltănea, 1999;
Khaledi and Kochar, 2004;
Zhao & Balakrishnan, 2010 (to appear);

Proof of (3): Zhao & Balakrishnan, 2009c;
Zhao & Balakrishnan, 2010.

Case iid

Corollary

Assume $\mu_i = \mu$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

1

$$\mu \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i}{n} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq_{lr} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i;$$

2

$$\mu \geq \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq_{hr, st, disp} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i;$$

3

$$\mu \geq \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i}} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq_{mrl, icx} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i.$$

Discrete random variables

Definition

X is a *geometric random variable* with parameter $p \in (0, 1)$ if its probability mass function is

$$f_X(k) = P(X = k) = p(1-p)^k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Remark

Geometric distribution has some analogous properties with the exponential distribution:

- 1 *constant hazard rate*
- 2 *memoryless property*

Sums of independent geometric random variables

Theorem

Let X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be two sets of independent geometric random variables with respective parameters $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and $\bar{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$. Let $X_{(i)}$ have the parameter $p_{(i)}$ and $Y_{(i)}$ have the parameter $q_{(i)}$. Then:

- 1 $\sum_{i=1}^k X_{(i)} \geq_{lr} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{(i)} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n) \Leftrightarrow \bar{p} \stackrel{w\uparrow}{\succeq} \bar{q}$
- 2 $\sum_{i=1}^k X_{(i)} \geq_{hr, st} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{(i)} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n) \Leftrightarrow \bar{p} \stackrel{p}{\succeq} \bar{q}$
- 3 $\sum_{i=1}^k X_{(i)} \geq_{mrl} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{(i)} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n) \Leftrightarrow \bar{p} \stackrel{rm}{\succeq} \bar{q}$

References

The proof is based on the papers: Zhao & Hu, 2009; Zhao & Balakrishnan, 2009c and on the following theorem:

Theorem

Let X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be two sets of independent heterogeneous geometric random variables with parameters p_1, \dots, p_n and q_1, \dots, q_n , respectively.

- *If $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \succeq^{rm} (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq_{mrl} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$*
- *If $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq_{mrl} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$, then $p_{(1)} \leq q_{(1)}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{-1} \geq \sum_{i=1}^n q_i^{-1}$.*

Order statistics

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent r.v.'s.

Consider the associated *order statistics*:

$$\min_i X_i = X_{1:n} \leq X_{2:n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n:n} = \max_i X_i.$$

We intend to characterize:

$$X_{k:n} \geq_{\text{stochastic order}} Y_{k:n}$$

for a given class of parametric distributions.

Remark

In reliability, $X_{i:n}$ is the lifetime of a $(n+1-i)$ -out-of- n system having independent components X_i .

In particular, $X_{n:n}$ is the lifetime of a parallel system.

Classical results

Theorem

Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n follow the PHR model with respective parameters vectors $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$.

Then

- 1 (Pledger & Proschan, 1971)

$$\bar{\lambda} \stackrel{m}{\succeq} \bar{\mu} \Rightarrow X_{i:n} \geq_{st} Y_{i:n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- 2 (Proschan & Sethuraman, 1976)

$$\bar{\lambda} \stackrel{m}{\succeq} \bar{\mu} \Rightarrow (X_1, \dots, X_n) \stackrel{st}{\succeq} (Y_1, \dots, Y_n).$$

Parallel systems

Theorem (Khaledi & Kochar, 2000)

Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n are indep. exp. r.v.'s, with respective parameters vectors $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$.

Then

1

$$\bar{\lambda} \stackrel{p}{\preceq} \bar{\mu} \Rightarrow X_{n:n} \geq_{st} Y_{n:n}.$$

2

$$\mu_j = \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n) \Rightarrow X_{n:n} \geq_{hr, disp} Y_{n:n}.$$

Elementary symmetrical functions and associated means

Definition

For $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let consider

- 1 the k^{th} elementary symmetrical function of n -order:

$$e_k^{(n)}(\bar{\lambda}) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_k}, \quad \forall \bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^n;$$

- 2 the k^{th} elementary symmetrical mean of n -order:

$$m_k^{(n)}(\bar{\lambda}) = \left(\frac{e_k^{(n)}(\bar{\lambda})}{\binom{n}{k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}, \quad \forall \bar{\lambda} \in (0, \infty)^n$$

Mac Laurin's inequality

Remark

- $m_1^{(n)}(\bar{\lambda}) = \text{arith}(\bar{\lambda})$;
- $m_n^{(n)}(\bar{\lambda}) = \text{geom}(\bar{\lambda})$.

Theorem (Mac Laurin, 1729)

$$m_k^{(n)}(\bar{x}) \geq m_{k+1}^{(n)}(\bar{x}), \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Extensions

Let $X_{k:n}$ be the k^{th} order statistic of independent exponential r. v.'s X_1, \dots, X_n with hazard rates $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and let $Y_{k:n}$ be the k^{th} order statistic of indep. exp. r. v.'s Y_1, \dots, Y_n with common hazard rate μ

We ask for the **best characterization of the stochastic inequalities:**

$$X_{k:n} \geq_* Y_{k:n}$$

$$X_{k:n} \leq_* Y_{k:n},$$

where " $*$ " denotes some stochastic order.

In reliability terms:

To compare a Markov k -out-of- n system having heterogeneous components with a similar system having identical components

Comparison in the usual stochastic order

Theorem (Bon & Păltănea, 2006)

Let U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n be iid positive r. v.'s with common distribution function F and hazard rate h .

Consider $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (0, \infty)^n$ and $\mu > 0$.

Denote $X_i = U_i/\lambda_i$ and $Y_i = U_i/\mu$ (the PRV model).

If

(i) $h(t) = \frac{F'(t)}{1-F(t)}$ is non-increasing

(ii) $\frac{F(t)}{t(1-F(t))}$ is increasing

(iii) $\mu = m_k^{(n)}(\bar{\lambda})$ (for a given number $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$)

then

$$X_{k:n} \geq_{st} Y_{k:n}.$$

Demonstration idea

Lemma

Assume $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $f : (0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that:

1. $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$ is permutation symmetric
2. for all $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in (0, \infty)^n$, such that $x_i \neq x_k$,

$$(x_i - x_k) \left(\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\bar{x})}{\frac{\partial e_j^{(n)}}{\partial x_i}(\bar{x})} - \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(\bar{x})}{\frac{\partial e_j^{(n)}}{\partial x_k}(\bar{x})} \right) > 0.$$

Then, $\forall \bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in (0, \infty)^n$,

$$f(\bar{x}) \geq f(\underbrace{m_j^{(n)}(\bar{x}), \dots, m_j^{(n)}(\bar{x})}_{\text{de } n \text{ times}})$$

Exponential case

Theorem (Bon & Păltănea, 2006)

In the exponential case,

$$X_{k:n} \geq_{st} Y_{k:n} \Leftrightarrow \mu \geq m_k^{(n)}(\bar{\lambda}),$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Conjecture:

$$X_{k:n} \geq_{hr} Y_{k:n} \Leftrightarrow \mu \geq m_k^{(n)}(\bar{\lambda}),$$

for all k .

Comparison in hazard rate order

Theorem (Păltănea, 2008)

In the exponential case:

$$X_{2:n} \geq_{hr} Y_{2:n} \Leftrightarrow \mu \geq m_2^{(n)}(\bar{\lambda})$$

and

$$X_{2:n} \leq_{hr} Y_{2:n} \Leftrightarrow \mu \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i - \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i}{n-1}.$$

Other comparisons

Theorem (exponential case)

- ① (Zhao, Li & Balakrishnan, 2009c)

$$X_{2:n} \geq_{lr} Y_{2:n} \Leftrightarrow \mu \geq \frac{2\Lambda_1^3 - 3\Lambda_1\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3}{(2n-1)(\Lambda_1^2 - \Lambda_2)} \quad (\Lambda_k := \sum_i \lambda_i^k).$$

- ② (Zhao & Balakrishnan, 2009d)

$$X_{2:n} \geq_{mrl} Y_{2:n} \Leftrightarrow \mu \geq \frac{2n-1}{n(n-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j} - \frac{n-1}{\sum_j \lambda_j} \right)}.$$

- ③ (Zhao & Balakrishnan (to appear))

$$X_{2:n} \geq_{disp} Y_{2:n} \Leftrightarrow \mu \geq m_2^{(n)}(\bar{\lambda}).$$

Parallel systems with two exponential components

Theorem

① (Jo & Mi, 2010)

If $\lambda_1 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \lambda_2$ and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq \mu_1 + \mu_2$
then $X_{2:2} \geq_{hr} Y_{2:2}$.

② (Zhao & Balakrishnan (to appear))

If $\lambda_1 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \lambda_2$, then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \stackrel{w\uparrow}{\succeq} (\mu_1, \mu_2)$;

(ii) $X_{2:2} \geq_{lr} Y_{2:2}$.

Definitions

Denote $F_{k:n}^{(\lambda)}(t)$ the distribution function of $X_{k:n}$ from iid exp. r.v.'s X_1, \dots, X_n with common hazard rate λ .

Consider two random variables:

U , with the distribution function $F_U = F_{k:n}^{(\mu)}$;

V , with the distribution function F_V :

$$F_V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i F_{k:n}^{(\lambda_i)}(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $p_1, \dots, p_m > 0$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$.

Best bounds for mixtures

Theorem

$$V \geq_{hr} U \Leftrightarrow V \geq_{st} U \Leftrightarrow \mu \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \lambda_i^k \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

and




$$V \leq_{hr} U \Leftrightarrow V \leq_{st} U \Leftrightarrow \mu \leq \min_{i=1, \dots, m} \lambda_i.$$

Application:




Theorem

$$X_{k:n} \leq_{st} Y_{k:n} \Leftrightarrow \mu \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1-k} \lambda_{(i)}}{n+1-k}.$$




References I

-  Bon & Păltănea (1999),
Ordering properties of convolutions of exponential random variables,
Lifetime Data Analysis, **5**, 185-192.
-  Bon & Păltănea (2006),
Comparison of order statistics in a random sequence to the same statistics with iid variables,
ESAIM P & S, **10**, 1-10.
-  Khaledi & Kochar (2002),
Dispersive ordering among linear combinations of uniform random variables,
J. Stat. Plann. and Infer., **100**, 13-21.




References II

-  Khaledi & Kochar (2004),
Ordering convolutions of gamma random variables,
Sankhyā, **66**, 466-473.
-  Kochar & Xu (2010),
On the right spread order of convolutions of heterogeneous exponential random variables,
J. Multiv. Anal., **101**, 165-176.
-  Joo & Mi (2010),
Some properties of hazard rate functions of systems with two components,
J. Stat. Plann. and Infer., **140** 444-453.




References III

-  Păltănea (2008),
On the comparison in hazard rate ordering of fail-safe systems,
J. Stat. Plann. and Infer., **138**, 1993-1997.
-  Pledger & Proschan (1971),
*Comparisons of order statistics and of spacings from
heterogeneous distributions,*
Optimizing Methods in Statistics, Academic Press, New-York.
-  Prochan & Sethuraman (1976),
*Stochastic comparison of order statistics and of spacing from
heterogeneous populations, with applications in reliability,*
J. Multiv. Anal. **6**, 608-616



References IV

-  Shaked & Shanthikumar (2007),
Stochastic Orders,
Springer-Verlag, New York.
-  Zhao, Li & Balakrishnan (2009a),
Likelihood ratio order of the second order statistic from independent heterogeneous exponential random variables
J. Multiv. Anal., **100**, 952-962.
-  Zhao & Balakrishnan (2009b),
Characterization of MRL order of fail-safe systems with heterogeneous exponential components,
J. Stat. Plann. and Infer., **139**, 3027-3037.

References V

-  Zhao & Balakrishnan (2009c),
Mean residual life order of convolutions of heterogeneous exponential random variables
J. Multiv. Anal., **100**, 1792-1801.
-  Zhao & Balakrishnan (2009d),
Likelihood ratio ordering of convolutions of heterogeneous exponential and geometric random variables
Stat. & Prob. Letters, **79**, 1717-1723.
-  Zhao & Hu (2009),
On hazard rate ordering of the sums of heterogeneous geometric random variables,
J. Multiv. Anal., **101**, 44-51.

References VI

-  Mao, Hu & Zhao (2010),
*Orderind convolutions of heterogeneous exponential ans
geometric distributions revisited*,
Probab. Engin. and Inform. Sc., 1-20.
-  Zhao & Balakrishnan (to appear),
*Dispersive ordering of fail-safe systems with heterogeneous
exponential components*
Metrika, DOI: [10.1007/s00184-010-0297-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00184-010-0297-5)