Controllability of the 3D compressible Euler system

Hayk Nersisyan (Université de Cergy–Pontoise)

10th French-Romanian Conference on Applied Mathematics

Poitiers, 2010

Plan of the talk

1. Preliminaries on the 3D compressible Euler system
2. Main results
3. Sketch of the proof

Preliminaries on the 3D compressible Euler system

Consider the 3D compressible Euler system

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u}) + \nabla p(\rho) &= \rho \boldsymbol{f}, \\ \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u}) &= 0, \\ \boldsymbol{u}(0) = \boldsymbol{u}_0, \quad \rho(0) &= \rho_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and $\rho > 0$ are unknown velocity field and density of the gas, p is the pressure and $\boldsymbol{f}$ is the external force, $\boldsymbol{u}_0$ and ρ_0 are the initial conditions, $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3 / 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^3$.

Consider the 3D compressible Euler system

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + (\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{u}) + \nabla p(\rho) &= \rho \boldsymbol{f}, \\ \partial_t \rho + \nabla\cdot(\rho \boldsymbol{u}) &= 0, \\ \boldsymbol{u}(0) = \boldsymbol{u}_0, \quad \rho(0) &= \rho_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and $\rho > 0$ are unknown velocity field and density of the gas, p is the pressure and $\boldsymbol{f}$ is the external force, $\boldsymbol{u}_0$ and ρ_0 are the initial conditions, $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^3$. Setting $g = \log\rho$ and $h(s) = p'(e^s)$, the above system takes the equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + (\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{u} + h(g)\nabla g &= \boldsymbol{f}, \\ (\partial_t + \boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla)g + \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{u} &= 0, \\ \boldsymbol{u}(0) = \boldsymbol{u}_0, \quad g(0) &= g_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{R} : D(A) \subset \boldsymbol{H}^k \times H^k \times L^2([0,T), \boldsymbol{H}^k) \to C([0,T), \boldsymbol{H}^k) \times C([0,T), H^k)$$
$$(\boldsymbol{u}_0, g_0, \boldsymbol{f}) \to (\boldsymbol{u}, g)$$

be the resolving operator of the system. Then the following assertions hold.

(i) $D(A)$ is open set.

(ii) The operator $\mathcal{R}$ is continuous.

(iii) The operator $\mathcal{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous from $\boldsymbol{H}^{k-1} \times H^{k-1} \times L^2([0,T), \boldsymbol{H}^{k-1})$ to $C([0,T), \boldsymbol{H}^{k-1}) \times C([0,T), H^{k-1})$.

We have the following blow-up criterion for the compressible Euler system.

Proposition

Let $(\boldsymbol{u}, g) \in C([0, T), \boldsymbol{H}^k) \times C([0, T), H^k)$ be a solution of Euler system. If for some $r > 0$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T)} \|(\boldsymbol{u}, g)(t)\|_{C^{r+1}} < \infty,$$

then there exists $T_1 > T$ such that $(\boldsymbol{u}, g)$ extends to a solution defined on $[0, T_1)$.

Main results

Let us consider the controlled system

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u} + h(g)\nabla g = \boldsymbol{f} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \tag{1}$$

$$(\partial_t + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)g + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}(0) = \boldsymbol{u}_0, \quad g(0) = g_0. \tag{3}$$

Definition

System (1), (2) with $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \boldsymbol{X}$ is said to be controllable at time $T > 0$ if for any constants $\varepsilon > 0$, for any finite dimensional space $\boldsymbol{F} \subset \boldsymbol{H}^k \times H^k$ and for any functions $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, g_0), (\boldsymbol{u_1}, g_1) \in \boldsymbol{H}^k \times H^k$ satisfying $\int e^{g_0(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = \int e^{g_1(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$ there is a control $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \boldsymbol{X}$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{R}_T(\boldsymbol{u}_0, g_0, \boldsymbol{\eta}) - (\boldsymbol{u_1}, g_1)\|_{\boldsymbol{H}^k \times H^k} < \varepsilon,$$

$$\boldsymbol{P}_F(\mathcal{R}_T(\boldsymbol{u}_0, g_0, \boldsymbol{\eta})) = \boldsymbol{P}_F(\boldsymbol{u_1}, g_1).$$

For any finite-dimensional subspace $\boldsymbol{E} \subset \boldsymbol{H}^k$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{E})$ the largest vector space $\boldsymbol{F} \subset \boldsymbol{H}^k$ such that for any $\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 \in \boldsymbol{F}$ there are vectors $\boldsymbol{\eta}, \zeta^1, \ldots, \zeta^n \in \boldsymbol{E}$ satisfying the relation

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 = \boldsymbol{\eta} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\zeta^i \cdot \nabla)\zeta^i. \tag{4}$$

It follows from $dim\mathcal{F}(E) < \infty$ and from the fact that if G_1 and G_2 satisfy (4), then so does $G_1 + G_2$ that $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is well defined.
We define $\boldsymbol{E_n}$ by the rule

$$\boldsymbol{E}_0 = \boldsymbol{E}, \quad \boldsymbol{E}_n = \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{E}_{n-1}) \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1, \quad \boldsymbol{E}_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{E}_n.$$

Theorem

If $\boldsymbol{E} \subset \boldsymbol{H}^k$ is a finite-dimensional subspace such that $\boldsymbol{E}_\infty$ is dense in $\boldsymbol{H}^k$, then system (1), (2) with $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in C^\infty([0, T), \boldsymbol{E})$ is controllable at time $T > 0$.

Theorem

If $\boldsymbol{E} \subset \boldsymbol{H}^k$ is a finite-dimensional subspace such that $\boldsymbol{E}_\infty$ is dense in $\boldsymbol{H}^k$, then system (1), (2) with $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in C^\infty([0,T), \boldsymbol{E})$ is controllable at time $T > 0$.

Example

Let us introduce the functions

$$c^i_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{e}_i \cos\langle \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle,\ s^i_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{e}_i \sin\langle \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $\{\boldsymbol{e}_i\}$ is the standard basis in $\mathbb{R}^3$.
If

$$\boldsymbol{E} = span\{\boldsymbol{c^i_m}, \boldsymbol{s^i_m},\ |m| \le 3\},$$

then $\boldsymbol{E}_\infty$ is dense in $\boldsymbol{H}^k$.

Li and Rao, Glass

Coron, Fursikov, Imanuvilov

Agrachev and Sarychev

Shirikyan

HN

Sketch of the proof

Agrachev-Sarychev method:

$$\partial_t u + B(u) = \eta, \tag{5}$$

$$\partial_t u + B(u + \zeta) = \eta. \tag{6}$$

(i) Equation (5) is controllable with $\boldsymbol{E}_N$-valued controls for some $N \geq 1$.

(ii) Controllability of (5) with $\eta \in \boldsymbol{E}_n$ is equivalent to controllability of (6) with $\eta, \zeta \in \boldsymbol{E}_n$.

(iii) Controllability of (5) with $\eta \in \boldsymbol{E}_{n+1}$ is equivalent to controllability of (6) with $\eta, \zeta \in \boldsymbol{E}_n$.

We need to consider the control system

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + ((\boldsymbol{u}+\zeta)\cdot\nabla)(\boldsymbol{u}+\zeta)) + h(g)\nabla g = \boldsymbol{f} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \tag{7}$$

$$(\partial_t + (\boldsymbol{u}+\zeta)\cdot\nabla)g + \nabla\cdot(\boldsymbol{u}+\zeta) = 0. \tag{8}$$

For any $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, g_0)$ and $(\boldsymbol{u}_1, g_1)$ we find controls $\zeta, \boldsymbol{\eta}$ such that the solution of (7)-(8) relies $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, g_0)$ and $(\boldsymbol{u}_1, g_1)$. Combination of a perturbative result and of the fact that $\boldsymbol{E}_\infty$ is dense in $\boldsymbol{H}^k$ implies controllability of (7)-(8) with $\boldsymbol{E}_N$-valued controls, $N \gg 1$.

We need to consider the control system

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + ((\boldsymbol{u}+\zeta)\cdot\nabla)(\boldsymbol{u}+\zeta)) + h(g)\nabla g = \boldsymbol{f} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \qquad (7)$$

$$(\partial_t + (\boldsymbol{u}+\zeta)\cdot\nabla)g + \nabla\cdot(\boldsymbol{u}+\zeta) = 0. \qquad (8)$$

For any $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, g_0)$ and $(\boldsymbol{u}_1, g_1)$ we find controls $\zeta, \boldsymbol{\eta}$ such that the solution of (7)-(8) relies $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, g_0)$ and $(\boldsymbol{u}_1, g_1)$. Combination of a perturbative result and of the fact that $\boldsymbol{E}_\infty$ is dense in $\boldsymbol{H}^k$ implies controllability of (7)-(8) with $\boldsymbol{E}_N$-valued controls, $N \gg 1$.
To show (ii), without loss of generality, we can assume that $\zeta(0) = \zeta(T) = 0$. If $(\boldsymbol{u}, g)$ is the solution of (7)-(8), then

$$(\boldsymbol{u}+\zeta, g) = \mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{u}_0, g_0, \boldsymbol{\eta} - \partial_t\zeta).$$

Thus, we have (i) and (ii).

We show that the controllability of compressible Euler system with $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{n+1}$ is equivalent to that of the system

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} + h(g)\nabla g = \boldsymbol{f} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \tag{9}$$

$$(\partial_t + (\boldsymbol{u} + \zeta) \cdot \nabla) g + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{u} + \zeta) = 0. \tag{10}$$

with $\zeta, \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \boldsymbol{E}_n$.

We show that the controllability of compressible Euler system with $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{n+1}$ is equivalent to that of the system

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} + h(g)\nabla g = \boldsymbol{f} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \quad (9)$$

$$(\partial_t + (\boldsymbol{u} + \zeta) \cdot \nabla) g + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{u} + \zeta) = 0. \quad (10)$$

with $\zeta, \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \boldsymbol{E}_n$.
If ζ_n is a sequence of a smooth functions such that

$$\int_0^t \zeta_n(s, x) ds \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

then for large n the solution of (10) is close to that of the equation

$$(\partial_t + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) g + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0.$$

Thank you for your attention