ON THE PULLBACK EQUATION

Bernard DACOROGNA
EPFL - Switzerland

1) Bandyopadhyay S. and Dacorogna B., On the pullback equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **26** (2009), 1717-1741.

2) Bandyopadhyay S., Dacorogna B. and Kneuss O., The pullback equation for degenerate forms, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. Series A*, **27** (2010), 657-691.

3) Dacorogna B. and Kneuss O., Divisibility in Grassmann algebra, to appear in Linear and Multilinear Algebra.

I) Introduction

We discuss the existence of a diffeomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that

$$\varphi^*(g) = f$$

where, $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$$

I) Introduction

We discuss the existence of a diffeomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that

$$\varphi^* (g) = f$$

where, $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \Lambda^k (\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$$

$f, g \neq 0$, are closed differential forms (i.e. $df = dg = 0$), $2 \leq k \leq n$

$$g = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} g_{i_1 \cdots i_k} (x) \, dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_k}$$

and similarly for f.

I) Introduction

We discuss the existence of a diffeomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that

$$\varphi^* (g) = f \tag{1}$$

where, $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^k (\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$$

$f, g \neq 0$, are closed differential forms (i.e. $df = dg = 0$), $2 \leq k \leq n$

$$g = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} g_{i_1 \cdots i_k} (x) \, dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_k}$$

and similarly for f. The meaning of (1) is that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} g_{i_1 \cdots i_k} (\varphi (x)) \, d\varphi^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi^{i_k}$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} f_{i_1 \cdots i_k} (x) \, dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_k} .$$

$k = 0$

$$f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \Lambda^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{0}} = \mathbb{R}$$

$k = 0$

$$f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \Lambda^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{0}} = \mathbb{R}$$

$$df = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; \mathrm{grad}\, f = 0$$

$\boxed{k = 0}$

$$f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \Lambda^0 (\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{0}} = \mathbb{R}$$

$$df = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; \text{grad}\, f = 0$$

$$\varphi^* (g) = f \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad g (\varphi (x)) = f (x) .$$

$\boxed{k=1}$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^1 (\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{1}} = \mathbb{R}^n$

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i (x) \, dx^i$$

$\boxed{k=1}$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{1}} = \mathbb{R}^n$

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(x)\, dx^i$$

$$dg = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; \operatorname{curl} g = 0$$

$\boxed{k=1}$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{1}} = \mathbb{R}^n$

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(x)\, dx^i$$

$$dg = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; \operatorname{curl} g = 0$$

The equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$ becomes

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n} g_p(\varphi(x))\, d\varphi^p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x)\, dx^i.$$

$\boxed{k=1}$ $f,g:\mathbb{R}^n\to\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\approx\mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{1}}=\mathbb{R}^n$

$$g=\sum_{i=1}^{n}g_i(x)\,dx^i$$

$$dg=0\ \Leftrightarrow\ \operatorname{curl}g=0$$

The equation $\varphi^*(g)=f$ becomes

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n}g_p(\varphi(x))\,d\varphi^p=\sum_{i=1}^{n}f_i(x)\,dx^i.$$

Writing

$$d\varphi^p=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\varphi^p}{\partial x^i}dx^i$$

$\boxed{k=1}$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{1}} = \mathbb{R}^n$

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(x)\, dx^i$$

$$dg = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; \operatorname{curl} g = 0$$

The equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$ becomes

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n} g_p(\varphi(x))\, d\varphi^p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x)\, dx^i. \tag{2}$$

Writing

$$d\varphi^p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi^p}{\partial x^i} dx^i$$

we get that (2) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n} g_p(\varphi(x)) \frac{\partial \varphi^p}{\partial x^i} = f_i \quad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

which is a linear (in the derivatives) first order system of $\boxed{\binom{n}{1} = n}$ pdes.

$\boxed{k=2}$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}} = \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$

$$g = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} g_{ij}(x)\, dx^i \wedge dx^j$$

$\boxed{k=2}$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}} = \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$

$$g = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} g_{ij}(x)\, dx^i \wedge dx^j$$

$$dg = 0 \iff \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^i} = 0$$

$\boxed{k = 2}$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}} = \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$

$$g = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} g_{ij}(x)\, dx^i \wedge dx^j$$

$$dg = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^i} = 0$$

The equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$ becomes

$$\sum_{1 \le p < q \le n} g_{pq}(\varphi(x))\, d\varphi^p \wedge d\varphi^q = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} f_{ij}(x)\, dx^i \wedge dx^j.$$

$\boxed{k=2}$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}} = \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$

$$g = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} g_{ij}(x)\, dx^i \wedge dx^j$$

$$dg = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^i} = 0$$

The equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$ becomes

$$\sum_{1 \le p < q \le n} g_{pq}(\varphi(x))\, d\varphi^p \wedge d\varphi^q = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} f_{ij}(x)\, dx^i \wedge dx^j.$$

Writing, as before,

$$d\varphi^p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi^p}{\partial x^i} dx^i$$

$\boxed{k=2}$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}} = \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$

$$g = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} g_{ij}(x)\, dx^i \wedge dx^j$$

$$dg = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^i} = 0$$

The equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$ becomes

$$\sum_{1 \le p < q \le n} g_{pq}(\varphi(x))\, d\varphi^p \wedge d\varphi^q = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} f_{ij}(x)\, dx^i \wedge dx^j. \tag{3}$$

Writing, as before,

$$d\varphi^p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi^p}{\partial x^i} dx^i$$

we get that (3) is equivalent, for every $1 \le i < j \le n$, to

$$\sum_{1 \le p < q \le n} g_{pq}(\varphi(x)) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi^p}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial \varphi^q}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial \varphi^p}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial \varphi^q}{\partial x^i} \right) = f_{ij}$$

which is a non-linear homogeneous of degree 2 (in the derivatives) first order system of $\boxed{\binom{n}{2}}$ pdes.

$\boxed{k}$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$.

The equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$ is then a non-linear homogeneous of degree k (in the derivatives) first order system of $\boxed{\binom{n}{k}}$ pdes.

k $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$.

The equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$ is then a non-linear homogeneous of degree k (in the derivatives) first order system of $\binom{n}{k}$ pdes.

$\vdots$

$k = n$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{n}} = \mathbb{R}$.

Here we always have

$$dg = 0.$$

k $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$.

The equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$ is then a non-linear homogeneous of degree k (in the derivatives) first order system of $\binom{n}{k}$ pdes.

$\vdots$

$k = n$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{n}} = \mathbb{R}$.

Here we always have

$$dg = 0.$$

The equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$ becomes

$$g(\varphi(x)) \det \nabla\varphi(x) = f(x)$$

k $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$.

The equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$ is then a non-linear homogeneous of degree k (in the derivatives) first order system of $\binom{n}{k}$ pdes.

$\vdots$

$k = n$ $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{n}} = \mathbb{R}$.

Here we always have

$$dg = 0.$$

The equation $\varphi^*(g) = f$ becomes

$$g(\varphi(x)) \det \nabla\varphi(x) = f(x)$$

it is then a non-linear homogeneous of degree n (in the derivatives) first order pde , i.e. $\binom{n}{n} = 1$.

Questions

1) Local existence

2) Global existence

3) Regularity

4) Dirichlet (or Cauchy) data

.....

Plan of the talk

I) Introduction

II) Historical background ($k = 2$ and $k = n$)

Plan of the talk

I) Introduction

II) Historical background ($k = 2$ and $k = n$)

III) Darboux theorem with optimal regularity ($k = 2$)

Plan of the talk

I) Introduction

II) Historical background ($k = 2$ and $k = n$)

III) Darboux theorem with optimal regularity ($k = 2$)

IV) Global Darboux type theorem ($k = 2$)

Plan of the talk

I) Introduction

II) Historical background ($k = 2$ and $k = n$)

III) Darboux theorem with optimal regularity ($k = 2$)

IV) Global Darboux type theorem ($k = 2$)

V) Darboux type theorem in the degenerate cases ($k = 2$)

Plan of the talk

I) Introduction

II) Historical background ($k = 2$ and $k = n$)

III) Darboux theorem with optimal regularity ($k = 2$)

IV) Global Darboux type theorem ($k = 2$)

V) Darboux type theorem in the degenerate cases ($k = 2$)

VI) The case of $(n - 1)$ −forms

Plan of the talk

I) Introduction

II) Historical background ($k = 2$ and $k = n$)

III) Darboux theorem with optimal regularity ($k = 2$)

IV) Global Darboux type theorem ($k = 2$)

V) Darboux type theorem in the degenerate cases ($k = 2$)

VI) The case of $(n-1)$ $-$forms

VII) The case of $k-$forms when $3 \le k \le n-2$

Plan of the talk

I) Introduction

II) Historical background ($k = 2$ and $k = n$)

III) Darboux theorem with optimal regularity ($k = 2$)

IV) Global Darboux type theorem ($k = 2$)

V) Darboux type theorem in the degenerate cases ($k = 2$)

VI) The case of $(n-1)-$forms

VII) The case of $k-$forms when $3 \leq k \leq n-2$

VIII) Ideas of the proof

II) Historical background ($k = 2$ and $k = n$)

$k = 2$ and n even

II) Historical background ($k = 2$ and $k = n$)

$k = 2$ and n even

Theorem (Darboux, 1882) Let $n = 2m$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
Let ω_m be the *standard symplectic form*

$$g = \omega_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} dx^{2i-1} \wedge dx^{2i}$$

(when $n = 4$, then $g = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + dx^3 \wedge dx^4$).

II) Historical background ($k = 2$ and $k = n$)

$k = 2$ and n even

Theorem (Darboux, 1882) Let $n = 2m$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let ω_m be the *standard symplectic form*

$$g = \omega_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} dx^{2i-1} \wedge dx^{2i}$$

(when $n = 4$, then $g = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + dx^3 \wedge dx^4$).

Let f be a closed (i.e. $df = d\omega_m = 0$) $2-$form such that

$$\operatorname{rank} f\,(x_0) = \operatorname{rank} \omega_m = n.$$

II) Historical background ($k = 2$ and $k = n$)

$k = 2$ and n even

Theorem (Darboux, 1882) Let $n = 2m$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let ω_m be the *standard symplectic form*

$$g = \omega_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} dx^{2i-1} \wedge dx^{2i}$$

(when $n = 4$, then $g = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + dx^3 \wedge dx^4$).

Let f be a closed (i.e. $df = d\omega_m = 0$) $2-$form such that

$$\operatorname{rank} f(x_0) = \operatorname{rank} \omega_m = n.$$

Then there exist a neighbourhood V of x_0 and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}(V; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\varphi^*(\omega_m) = f \quad \text{in } V \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x_0) = x_0 \, .$$

$k = n$

Moser (1965), Banyaga (1974), Dacorogna (1981), Reimann (1972), Tartar (1978) and Zehnder (1976).

$k = n$

Moser (1965), Banyaga (1974), Dacorogna (1981), Reimann (1972), Tartar (1978) and Zehnder (1976).

Theorem (Dacorogna-Moser, 1990) Let $r \geq 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded connected open set. Let $f, g > 0$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. Then the two following statements are equivalent.

$k = n$

Moser (1965), Banyaga (1974), Dacorogna (1981), Reimann (1972), Tartar (1978) and Zehnder (1976).

Theorem (Dacorogna-Moser, 1990) Let $r \geq 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded connected open set. Let $f, g > 0$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. Then the two following statements are equivalent.

(i) $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$ and

$$\int_\Omega f(x)\, dx = \int_\Omega g(x)\, dx.$$

$k = n$

Moser (1965), Banyaga (1974), Dacorogna (1981), Reimann (1972), Tartar (1978) and Zehnder (1976).

Theorem (Dacorogna-Moser, 1990) Let $r \geq 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded connected open set. Let $f, g > 0$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. Then the two following statements are equivalent.

(i) $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$ and

$$\int_\Omega f(x)\, dx = \int_\Omega g(x)\, dx.$$

(ii) There exists $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}^{r+1,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$ satisfying

$$\varphi^*(g) = f \text{ in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi = id \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

meaning that

$$\begin{cases} g(\varphi(x)) \det \nabla\varphi(x) = f(x) & x \in \Omega \\ \varphi(x) = x & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Posterior contributions: Burago-Kleiner (1998), Cupini-Dacorogna-Kneuss (2009), Mc Mullen (1998), Rivière-Ye (1996) and Ye (1994).

Posterior contributions: Burago-Kleiner (1998), Cupini-Dacorogna-Kneuss (2009), Mc Mullen (1998), Rivière-Ye (1996) and Ye (1994).

1) Burago-Kleiner and Mc Mullen provided an example of $f \in C^0$ such that there exist no $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}^1$ satisfying

$$\det \nabla\varphi\,(x) = f\,(x)\,.$$

Posterior contributions: Burago-Kleiner (1998), Cupini-Dacorogna-Kneuss (2009), Mc Mullen (1998), Rivière-Ye (1996) and Ye (1994).

1) Burago-Kleiner and Mc Mullen provided an example of $f \in C^0$ such that there exist no $\varphi \in \text{Diff}^1$ satisfying

$$\det \nabla\varphi(x) = f(x).$$

2) Cupini-Dacorogna-Kneuss have studied the degenerate case where f is allowed to change sign (of course, in this case, the map cannot be a diffeomorphism).

III) Darboux theorem with optimal regularity ($k = 2$)

$k = 2$ and n even

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna, 2009) Let $n = 2m$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let ω_m be the *standard symplectic form*

$$g = \omega_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} dx^{2i-1} \wedge dx^{2i}$$

(when $n = 4$, then $g = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + dx^3 \wedge dx^4$).

III) Darboux theorem with optimal regularity ($k = 2$)

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna, 2009) Let $n = 2m$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let ω_m be the *standard symplectic form*

$$g = \omega_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} dx^{2i-1} \wedge dx^{2i}$$

(when $n = 4$, then $g = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + dx^3 \wedge dx^4$).

Let $r \geq 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let f be a $2-$form. Then the two following statements are equivalent.

(i) The $2-$form f is closed (i.e. $df = d\omega_m = 0$), $f \in C^{r,\alpha}$ and verifies

$$\operatorname{rank} f\left(x_0\right) = \operatorname{rank} \omega_m = n.$$

III) Darboux theorem with optimal regularity ($k = 2$)

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna, 2009) Let $n = 2m$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let ω_m be the *standard symplectic form*

$$g = \omega_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} dx^{2i-1} \wedge dx^{2i}$$

(when $n = 4$, then $g = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + dx^3 \wedge dx^4$). Let $r \geq 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let f be a $2-$form. Then the two following statements are equivalent.

(i) The $2-$form f is closed (i.e. $df = d\omega_m = 0$), $f \in C^{r,\alpha}$ and verifies

$$\operatorname{rank} f(x_0) = \operatorname{rank} \omega_m = n.$$

(ii) There exist a neighbourhood V of x_0 and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}^{r+1,\alpha}(V; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\varphi^*(\omega_m) = f \text{ in } V \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x_0) = x_0 .$$

IV) Global Darboux theorem

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna, 2009) Let $n = 2m$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded convex open set (and ν denotes the outside unit normal).

IV) Global Darboux theorem

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna, 2009) Let $n = 2m$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded convex open set (and ν denotes the outside unit normal). Let $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. Let $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}; \Lambda^2\right)$

IV) Global Darboux theorem

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna, 2009) Let $n = 2m$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded convex open set (and ν denotes the outside unit normal). Let $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. Let $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}; \Lambda^2\right) \cap C^{r+1,\beta}\left(\partial\Omega; \Lambda^2\right)$ with

IV) Global Darboux theorem

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna, 2009) Let $n = 2m$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded convex open set (and ν denotes the outside unit normal). Let $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. Let $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}; \Lambda^2\right) \cap C^{r+1,\beta}\left(\partial\Omega; \Lambda^2\right)$ with

$$dg = df = 0$$

IV) Global Darboux theorem

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna, 2009) Let $n = 2m$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded convex open set (and ν denotes the outside unit normal). Let $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. Let $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}; \Lambda^2\right) \cap C^{r+1,\beta}\left(\partial\Omega; \Lambda^2\right)$ with

$$dg = df = 0$$

$$\nu \wedge g = \nu \wedge f \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

IV) Global Darboux theorem

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna, 2009) Let $n = 2m$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded convex open set (and ν denotes the outside unit normal). Let $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. Let $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}; \Lambda^2\right) \cap C^{r+1,\beta}\left(\partial\Omega; \Lambda^2\right)$ with

$$dg = df = 0$$

$$\nu \wedge g = \nu \wedge f \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

$$\text{rank}\,[tg + (1-t)\,f] = n \quad \text{in } \Omega \text{ and for every } t \in [0,1]$$

IV) Global Darboux theorem

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna, 2009) Let $n = 2m$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded convex open set (and ν denotes the outside unit normal). Let $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. Let $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}; \Lambda^2\right) \cap C^{r+1,\beta}\left(\partial\Omega; \Lambda^2\right)$ with

$$dg = df = 0$$

$$\nu \wedge g = \nu \wedge f \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

$$\operatorname{rank}\left[tg + (1-t) f\right] = n \quad \text{in } \Omega \text{ and for every } t \in [0,1]$$

Then there exists $\varphi \in \text{Diff}^{r+1,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$ satisfying

$$\varphi^*(g) = f \text{ in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi = id \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

Remarks (i) If $dg = 0$ then necessarily

$$df = 0.$$

Remarks (i) If $dg = 0$ then necessarily

$$df = 0.$$

In fact we always have

$$\mathrm{rank}\,[dg] = \mathrm{rank}\,[df]\,.$$

Remarks (i) If $dg = 0$ then necessarily

$$df = 0.$$

In fact we always have

$$\text{rank}\,[dg] = \text{rank}\,[df]\,.$$

(ii) If we want $\varphi = id$ on $\partial\Omega$, then necessarily

$$\nu \wedge g = \nu \wedge f \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Remarks (i) If $dg = 0$ then necessarily

$$df = 0.$$

In fact we always have

$$\text{rank}\,[dg] = \text{rank}\,[df]\,.$$

(ii) If we want $\varphi = id$ on $\partial\Omega$, then necessarily

$$\nu \wedge g = \nu \wedge f \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

(iii) The condition

$$\text{rank}\,[tg + (1-t)\,f] = n \quad \text{in } \Omega \text{ and for every } t \in [0,1]$$

can be weakened, replacing the linear homotopy by a non-linear one.

(iv) If Ω is *contractible* , the theorem is valid.

(iv) If Ω is *contractible* , the theorem is valid.

If however Ω is only *connected* then another necessary condition comes into play, namely

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle f - g; \psi \rangle \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for every } \psi \in \mathcal{D}_2(\Omega)$$

(iv) If Ω is *contractible* , the theorem is valid.

If however Ω is only *connected* then another necessary condition comes into play, namely

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle f - g; \psi \rangle \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for every } \psi \in \mathcal{D}_2(\Omega)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_2(\Omega)$ is the set of 2−harmonic field namely

$$\mathcal{D}_2(\Omega) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \psi \in C^1 : d\psi = 0, \ \delta\psi = 0 \\ \text{and } \nu \wedge \psi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{array} \right\}.$$

(iv) If Ω is *contractible* , the theorem is valid.

If however Ω is only *connected* then another necessary condition comes into play, namely

$$\int_\Omega \langle f - g; \psi \rangle \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for every } \psi \in \mathcal{D}_2(\Omega)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_2(\Omega)$ is the set of 2−harmonic field namely

$$\mathcal{D}_2(\Omega) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \psi \in C^1 : d\psi = 0,\ \delta\psi = 0 \\ \text{and } \nu \wedge \psi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{array} \right\}.$$

If Ω is convex (or more generally star shaped, contractible...) then

$$\mathcal{D}_2(\Omega) = \{0\}.$$

(iv) If Ω is *contractible* , the theorem is valid. If however Ω is only *connected* then another necessary condition comes into play, namely

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle f - g; \psi \rangle \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for every } \psi \in \mathcal{D}_2 (\Omega)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_2 (\Omega)$ is the set of 2−harmonic field namely

$$\mathcal{D}_2 (\Omega) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \psi \in C^1 : d\psi = 0, \ \delta\psi = 0 \\ \text{and } \nu \wedge \psi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{array} \right\}.$$

If Ω is convex (or more generally star shaped, contractible...) then

$$\mathcal{D}_2 (\Omega) = \{0\} .$$

The dimension of this space is the Betti number

$$\dim \mathcal{D}_2 (\Omega) = B_{n-2} .$$

V) Darboux type theorem in the degenerate cases

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna-Kneuss, 2010)
Let $2 \leq 2l < n$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let ω_l be the *standard symplectic form of* $\operatorname{rank} \omega_l = 2l$

$$g = \omega_l = \sum_{i=1}^{l} dx^{2i-1} \wedge dx^{2i}$$

(when $2l = 2 < n = 3$, then $g = dx^1 \wedge dx^2$).

V) Darboux type theorem in the degenerate cases

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna-Kneuss, 2010) Let $2 \leq 2l < n$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let ω_l be the *standard symplectic form of* $\operatorname{rank} \omega_l = 2l$

$$g = \omega_l = \sum_{i=1}^{l} dx^{2i-1} \wedge dx^{2i}$$

(when $2l = 2 < n = 3$, then $g = dx^1 \wedge dx^2$).

Let $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $f \in C^{r,\alpha}$ be a closed (i.e. $df = d\omega_l = 0$) $2-$form such that

$$\operatorname{rank} f = \operatorname{rank} \omega_l = 2l \text{ in a neigbourhood of } x_0\,.$$

V) Darboux type theorem in the degenerate cases

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna-Kneuss, 2010)
Let $2 \leq 2l < n$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let ω_l be the *standard symplectic form of* $\operatorname{rank} \omega_l = 2l$

$$g = \omega_l = \sum_{i=1}^{l} dx^{2i-1} \wedge dx^{2i}$$

(when $2l = 2 < n = 3$, then $g = dx^1 \wedge dx^2$).

Let $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $f \in C^{r,\alpha}$ be a closed (i.e. $df = d\omega_l = 0$) $2-$form such that

$$\operatorname{rank} f = \operatorname{rank} \omega_l = 2l \text{ in a neigbourhood of } x_0 \, .$$

Then there exist a neighbourhood V of x_0 and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}^{r,\alpha}(V; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\varphi^* (\omega_l) = f \quad \text{in } V \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x_0) = x_0 \, .$$

VI) The case of $(n-1)$ −forms

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna-Kneuss, 2010) Let $r \geq 1$ be integers, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}$ closed $(n-1)$ −forms satisfying

$$\operatorname{rank} f(x_0) = \operatorname{rank} g(x_0) = n-1$$

or equivalently

$$f(x_0) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(x_0) \neq 0.$$

VI) The case of $(n-1)$ −forms

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna-Kneuss, 2010) Let $r \geq 1$ be integers, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}$ closed $(n-1)$ −forms satisfying

$$f(x_0) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(x_0) \neq 0.$$

Then there exists $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}^{r,\alpha}$ such that, in a neighbourhood of x_0,

$$\varphi^*(g) = f \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x_0) = x_0\,.$$

VI) The case of $(n-1)$ −forms

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna-Kneuss, 2010) Let $r \geq 1$ be integers, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}$ closed $(n-1)$ −forms satisfying

$$f(x_0) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(x_0) \neq 0.$$

Then there exists $\varphi \in \text{Diff}^{r,\alpha}$ such that, in a neighbourhood of x_0,

$$\varphi^*(g) = f \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x_0) = x_0\,.$$

Corollary (B-D-K, 2010) Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer and $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $f \in C^{r,\alpha}$ vector field satisfying, in a neighbourhood of x_0,

$$f(x_0) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div} f = 0.$$

VI) The case of $(n-1)$ $-$forms

Theorem (Bandyopadhyay-Dacorogna-Kneuss, 2010) Let $r \geq 1$ be integers, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $f, g \in C^{r,\alpha}$ closed $(n-1)$ $-$forms satisfying

$$f(x_0) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(x_0) \neq 0.$$

Then there exists $\varphi \in \text{Diff}^{r,\alpha}$ such that, in a neighbourhood of x_0,

$$\varphi^*(g) = f \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x_0) = x_0\,.$$

Corollary (B-D-K, 2010+Barbarosie) Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer and $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $f \in C^{r,\alpha}$ vector field satisfying, in a neighbourhood of x_0,

$$f(x_0) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div} f = 0.$$

Then there exists $\varphi \in \text{Diff}^{r,\alpha}$ such that, in a neighbourhood of x_0,

$$f = * \left(\nabla\varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla\varphi^{n-1} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x_0) = x_0\,.$$

VII) The case of $k-$forms when $3 \leq k \leq n-2$

The problem is more difficult and there the rank is not the only invariant and we have results only for special forms.

VII) The case of $k-$forms when $3 \leq k \leq n-2$

The problem is more difficult and there the rank is not the only invariant and we have results only for special forms.

For example those forms that are product of 1 and 2 forms of the type

$$f = f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_l \wedge a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_m$$

where

$$f_1 , \cdots , f_l$$

are closed $2-$forms and

$$a_1 , \cdots , a_m$$

are closed $1-$forms.

VII) The case of $k-$forms when $3 \leq k \leq n-2$

The problem is more difficult and there the rank is not the only invariant and we have results only for special forms.

For example those forms that are product of 1 and 2 forms of the type

$$f = f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_l \wedge a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_m$$

where

$$f_1, \cdots, f_l$$

are closed $2-$forms and

$$a_1, \cdots, a_m$$

are closed $1-$forms.

Note that f is a $k = (2l+m)\,-$form.

VIII) Ideas of the proof

I) The flow method (Moser 1965)

VIII) Ideas of the proof

I) The flow method (Moser 1965)

Look for a solution of $\varphi^* (g) = f$ as the flow associated to an appropriate vector field u_t , namely

VIII) Ideas of the proof

I) The flow method (Moser 1965)

Look for a solution of $\varphi^* (g) = f$ as the flow associated to an appropriate vector field u_t , namely

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t (x) = u_t (\varphi_t (x)), \ t \in [0,1] \\ \varphi_0 (x) = x. \end{cases}$$

VIII) Ideas of the proof

I) The flow method (Moser 1965)

Look for a solution of $\varphi^*(g) = f$ as the flow associated to an appropriate vector field u_t, namely

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t(x) = u_t(\varphi_t(x)), \ t \in [0,1] \\ \varphi_0(x) = x. \end{cases}$$

The solution at time $t = 1$, namely φ_1 satisfies

$$\varphi_1^*(g) = f.$$

VIII) Ideas of the proof

I) The flow method (Moser 1965)

Look for a solution of $\varphi^* (g) = f$ as the flow associated to an appropriate vector field u_t , namely

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t (x) = u_t (\varphi_t (x)) , \ t \in [0, 1] \\ \varphi_0 (x) = x. \end{cases}$$

The solution at time $t = 1$, namely φ_1 satisfies

$$\varphi_1^* (g) = f.$$

Write

$$f_t = tg + (1 - t) f$$

VIII) Ideas of the proof

I) The flow method (Moser 1965)

Look for a solution of $\varphi^*(g) = f$ as the flow associated to an appropriate vector field u_t, namely

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t(x) = u_t(\varphi_t(x)), \ t \in [0,1] \\ \varphi_0(x) = x. \end{cases}$$

The solution at time $t = 1$, namely φ_1 satisfies

$$\varphi_1^*(g) = f.$$

Write

$$f_t = tg + (1-t) f$$

and solve (by Poincaré lemma) the underdetermined problem

$$d\omega = f - g$$

VIII) Ideas of the proof

I) The flow method (Moser 1965)

Look for a solution of $\varphi^*(g) = f$ as the flow associated to an appropriate vector field u_t, namely

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t(x) = u_t(\varphi_t(x)), \ t \in [0,1] \\ \varphi_0(x) = x. \end{cases}$$

The solution at time $t = 1$, namely φ_1 satisfies

$$\varphi_1^*(g) = f.$$

Write

$$f_t = tg + (1-t) f$$

and solve (by Poincaré lemma) the underdetermined problem

$$d\omega = f - g$$

and recover u_t through the overdetermined algebraic relation

$$u_t \lrcorner f_t = \omega.$$

II) The fixed point method

Look for a solution of $\varphi^* (g) = f$ as a perturbation of the identity, namely

II) The fixed point method

Look for a solution of $\varphi^*(g) = f$ as a perturbation of the identity, namely

$$\varphi(x) = x + u(x) \, .$$

II) The fixed point method

Look for a solution of $\varphi^*(g) = f$ as a perturbation of the identity, namely

$$\varphi(x) = x + u(x)\,.$$

Apply Banach fixed point theorem under a smallness assumption on

$$\|f - g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}}\ .$$

II) The fixed point method

Look for a solution of $\varphi^*(g) = f$ as a perturbation of the identity, namely

$$\varphi(x) = x + u(x).$$

Apply Banach fixed point theorem under a smallness assumption on

$$\|f - g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}}.$$

Then iterate in order to remove the smallness assumption.

II) The fixed point method

Look for a solution of $\varphi^* (g) = f$ as a perturbation of the identity, namely

$$\varphi (x) = x + u (x) .$$

Apply Banach fixed point theorem under a smallness assumption on

$$\|f - g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} .$$

Then iterate in order to remove the smallness assumption.

This requires very fine properties of Hölder continuous functions.