

# Blow-up for NLS on surfaces

**Valeria Banica** (Univ. Evry)

joint work with **Rémi Carles** (Univ. Montpellier)  
and **Thomas Duyckaerts** (Univ. Cergy)

August 2010

# Outline

- 1 Focusing NLS on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- 2 Focusing NLS on surfaces.
- 3 Inhomogeneous focusing cubic NLS with potential on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

# Outline

- 1 Focusing NLS on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- 2 Focusing NLS on surfaces.
- 3 Inhomogeneous focusing cubic NLS with potential on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

# Global existence

We consider the **focusing NLS**:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u + |u|^p u = 0, & (t, x) \in (T_-, T_+) \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2). \end{cases}$$

The conservation of **mass**  $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}$  and **energy**

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p+2} \|u(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2},$$

combined with **Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality** yields

$$E(u_0) \geq \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{C_{p+2}}{p+2} \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^{p-2} \right).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  for  $p < 2$  or for  $p = 2$  and  $\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 < \frac{2}{C_4} = \|Q\|_{L^2}^2$ , the solution is **global in time** (Weinstein '83).

( $Q$  is the minimizer of G-N's inequality, solution of  $\Delta Q + Q^3 = Q$ )

# Blow-up solutions

- Glassey's criterium '77:

$$\partial_t^2 \int |x|^2 |u(t, x)|^2 dx = 16E(u_0) - \frac{4(2p-4)}{p+2} \|u(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} dx,$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  for  $p \geq 2$ ,  $xu_0(x) \in L^2$ ,  $E(u_0) < 0$ , the solution blows-up.

- For the **critical power**  $p = 2$ , the pseudo-conformal transformation of  $e^{it}Q(x)$  is the solution

$$S(t) = \frac{e^{i|x|^2/4t}}{t} e^{i/t} Q\left(\frac{x}{t}\right),$$

$\rightsquigarrow \|Q\|_{L^2}$  is the **critical mass** for blow-up (Weinstein '83).

- $S(t)$ -type solutions are the only blow-up solutions of critical mass (Merle '93). The **rate of blow-up is**  $1/t$ .
- $\exists$  many solutions  $S(t - T, x) + w(t, x)$ , with  $w$  smooth at  $t = T$  (Bourgain-Wang '97, Krieger-Schlag '09), of  $1/t$ -type.

$\rightsquigarrow$  The pseudo-conformal blow-up is unstable.

# Blow-up solutions

- There exist an **open** subset of initial data such that the solutions blow up, and at the **blow-up rate** is  $\sqrt{\frac{\log |\log |t||}{|t|}}$  (Perelman '01, Merle-Raphaël '04)  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  The "log-log" blow-up is stable.
- The log-log blow-up is also **structurally stable** (Planchon-Raphaël '01 on bounded domains, Burq-Gérard-Raphaël on manifolds).
- On star-shaped domains Glassey's principle is still valid (Kavian '87).
- $\exists$  S(t)-type solutions on domains and more generally at **flat points** of a surface (Ogawa-Tsutsumi '90, Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov '03)

## Question

Q : what happens with the frontier between global existence and blow-up when the geometry varies ? do  $1/t$  blow-up solutions survive ?

Geometry is known to change things :

- The regularity  $s$  of the threshold **local wellposedness/instability in  $H^s$**  for the defocusing cubic NLS varies :  
 $s = 0$  for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (Cazenave-Weissler '90, Christ-Colliander-Tao '03),  
 $s = \frac{1}{4}$  for  $\mathbb{S}^2$  (Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov '02,'05).  
 This is not an issue of the compactness of  $\mathbb{S}^2$  :  
 $s = 0$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  (Bourgain '93, Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov '02).
- **Dispersive properties** are weaker for positive curvature (on the sphere), and stronger for negative curvature, enough to lower the **short/long-range threshold** power for scattering properties of the defocusing NLS (Banica, Pierfelice, Banica-Carles-Staffilani, Banica-Duyckaerts, Banica-Carles-Duyckaerts, Anker-Pierfelice, Ionescu-Staffilani '05-'10 on hyperbolic space, Damek-Ricci spaces, rotationally symmetric manifolds)

# Outline

- 1 Focusing NLS on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- 2 Focusing NLS on surfaces.
- 3 Inhomogeneous focusing cubic NLS with potential on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .



# Global existence/Blow-up

## Theorem (B. '07)

The solutions of NLS on  $\mathbb{H}^2$  are global for  $p < 2$  or for  $p = 2$  and small initial data. Blow-up occurs if  $p \geq 2$ ,  $U_0$  radial,  $d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(0, x)U_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)$ ,  $E(U_0) < c \|U_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)}^2$ , for some positive geometric constant  $c$ .

Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities are valid with constant larger or equal than the one on  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$\rightsquigarrow$  **global existence** for  $p < 2$  or for  $p = 2$  and  $\|U_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)}^2 < \frac{2}{C_4^{\text{hyp}}} \leq \frac{2}{C_4}$ .

In the **radial case**, by taking  $U(r) = \sqrt{\frac{r}{\sinh r}} u(r)$ , if  $U$  satisfies NLS on  $\mathbb{H}^2$  then  $i\partial_t u + \Delta u - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\cosh^2}{\sinh^2} - \frac{1}{r^2}\right)\right) u + \left(\frac{r}{\sinh r}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} |u|^p u = 0$ .

$\rightsquigarrow$  **global existence** for  $p = 2$  and  $\|U_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)}^2 = \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 < \frac{2}{C_4} = \|Q\|_{L^2}^2$ .

# Global existence/Blow-up

The second derivative of  $\|U(t, x) d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(0, x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)}^2$  is, for **radial** solutions  $U$ ,

$$16E(u) - \int_{\mathbb{H}^2} |U|^2 \Delta_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 r^2 - \frac{4p}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^2} |U|^{p+2} \left( \frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} r - 1 \right) - 8 \frac{p-2}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^2} |U|^{p+2}.$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  for  $p \geq 2$ ,  $r U_0(r) \in L^2$ ,  $E(U_0) < \frac{\inf \Delta_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 r}{16} \|U_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)}^2$ ,  $U(t)$  blows-up.

Remarks :

- $p = 2$  is the **critical power** for blow-up,
- null-energy initial data leads to blow-up, contrary to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,
- the **ground state** on  $\mathbb{H}^2$  is of positive energy and mass smaller than  $Q$ ,
- the **non-radial** calculation does not allow to conclude the same,
- this argument relies also on the fact that there is only **one chart**,
- for the sphere, a Glassey criterium was given for radial initial data symmetric with respect to the equator (Ma-Zhao '07).

# Outline

- 1 Focusing NLS on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- 2 Focusing NLS on surfaces.
- 3 Inhomogeneous focusing cubic NLS with potential on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

# Passage to the inhomogeneous NLS with potential on $\mathbb{R}^2$

We choose as a more general toy model **rotationally symmetric surfaces**  $M$  given by metrics of the form  $ds^2 = dr^2 + \phi^2(r)d\omega^2$ , so that

$$\Delta_M = \partial_r^2 + \frac{\phi'}{\phi} \partial_r + \frac{1}{\phi^2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}.$$

Examples :  $\mathbb{R}^2$  ( $\phi(r) = r$ ),  $\mathbb{H}^2$  ( $\phi(r) = \sinh r$ ), intermediate manifolds (e.g.  $\phi(r) = r + r^5$ ), compact perturbations of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{H}^2$ .

For **radial** solutions  $U$  of the cubic NLS on  $M$ ,

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u - \underbrace{\left( \frac{\phi''}{2\phi} - \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \right)}_V u + \underbrace{\frac{r}{\phi}}_g |u|^2 u = 0,$$

with  $U(t, r) = \sqrt{\frac{r}{\phi(r)}} u(t, r)$ .

We shall get as a result that if  **$V$  and  $g$  are bounded at infinity**, and  **$\phi^{(3)}(0) = 0$** , then there exists a “pseudo-conformal” blow-up solution.

# Pseudo-conformal blow-up for the inhomogeneous NLS with potential

Theorem (B.-Carles-Duyckaerts '09)

We consider

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u - V(x)u + g(x)|u|^2 u = 0.$$

Assume  $V(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V(0) = 0$ ,  $g(0) = 1$ , all their derivatives bounded, and

$$Dg(0) = 0, \quad D^2g(0) = 0.$$

Then there exists  $T > 0$  and a solution  $\tilde{u} \in C^0((0, T), \Sigma)$  such that

$$\left\| \tilde{u}(t) - \tilde{S}(t) \right\|_{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} 0,$$

$$\tilde{S}(t, x) := \frac{e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t} - i\theta(\frac{1}{t})}}{t} Q\left(\frac{x}{t}\right), \quad \theta(\tau) \sim \tau, \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty.$$

# Comments

- Works also in dimension 1 (the mass-critical equation is quintic).
- The result was unknown even in the case  $g = 1$ , i.e equation

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u - Vu + |u|^2 u = 0.$$

Some explicit blow-up solutions are known only in very particular cases.

- Specific to the [mass-critical nonlinearity](#). No explicit blow-up solutions are known for other nonlinearities.
- The difficulty is to weaken the assumptions on  $V$  and  $g$  at 0. Assuming that they are very [flat](#)

$$V(x) = \mathcal{O}(|x|^7), \quad g(x) = \mathcal{O}(|x|^9) \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0,$$

the proof reduces essentially to the argument of Bourgain and Wang.

- Bourgain-Wang solutions were also constructed for very flat perturbations of mass-critical homogeneous [Hartree type](#) nonlinearities (Krieger-Lenzmann-Raphaël '08).

## Comments

- After pseudo-conformal transformation, the proof is reduced to a **stability** result for the Schrödinger equation around  $e^{itQ}$ . This type of results goes back to Weinstein '85 for mass-subcritical and mass-critical nonlinearity. In a supercritical context see Beceanu '09.
- Assume  $\forall x, \quad g(x) \leq g(0) = 1$ . Then

$$\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2} \implies u \text{ is globally defined.}$$

By Merle '96 any minimal mass blow-up must concentrate at a maximum, and there exist blow-up solutions for all masses larger but close to  $\|Q\|_{L^2}$ . The blow-up solution  $\tilde{u}$  is a **minimal mass** blow-up solution.

- The existence of a mass-critical solution blowing-up at 0 if  $Dg(0) = 0$  and  $D^2g(0) \neq 0$  was proven by a different method by Raphaël-Szeftel '10. They also prove **uniqueness**. If their methods extends to the case with potential, it yields a pseudo-conformal blow-up solution on  $\mathbb{H}^2$  of  $\|Q\|_{L^2}$  mass.

# About the proof

We want to construct a solution  $u$  of (NLS<sub>p</sub>) defined on  $]0, +\infty[$  that blows-up at  $t = 0$ .

1. **Linearization argument** (Bourgain-Wang strategy). After a pseudo-transformation, include some linear terms with  $-\Delta$ . The new equation is  $i\partial_t f - Lf = B(f)$ , with  $L$  the linearized operator around  $e^{it}Q$ ,

$$L(f) = -\Delta f + f - 2Q^2 f - Q^2 \bar{f}.$$

Works for **polynomially very flat**  $V$  and  $g$ .

2. **Modulation**. The polynomial instability of  $L$  is caused by 8 so-called **secular modes**. We use **time dependent transformations** to avoid these secular modes.



# Bourgain-Wang strategy

**Pseudo-conformal transformation.** Let

$$v(t, x) = \mathcal{PC}(u)(t, x) = \frac{e^{\frac{i|x|^2}{4t}}}{t} \bar{u} \left( \frac{1}{t}, \frac{x}{t} \right).$$

Then  $u$  is solution to (NLSp) (with  $g = 1$  for simplicity) if  $\tilde{v}$  satisfies

$$i\partial_t v + \Delta v - \frac{1}{t^2} V \left( \frac{x}{t} \right) v + |v|^2 v = 0.$$

**Linearization.** Write  $v = e^{it}(Q + h)$ , then

$$i\partial_t h - Lh - \frac{1}{t^2} V \left( \frac{x}{t} \right) Q + \frac{1}{t^2} V \left( \frac{x}{t} \right) h + \dots = 0.$$

To get a  $1/t$  blow-up solution  $u$  it is sufficient to show the existence of a solution  $h$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{1+\epsilon} \|h(t)\|_{H^1} + t^\epsilon \|xh(t)\|_{L^2} = 0.$$

# Algebraic instabilities of the linearized operator 1

We must solve

$$h(t) = -i \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-i(\sigma-t)L} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} V\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) Q(x) d\sigma + \dots$$

Behaviour of  $e^{itL}$ ?

Theorem (Weinstein '85, Kwong '89)

$$H^1 = S \oplus M, \quad \dim S = 8,$$

where  $S$  and  $M$  are *stable* by  $e^{itL}$  and ( $\delta_0 > 0$  is a small constant)

$$\|e^{itL} P_M(f)\|_{H^1} \leq C \|f\|_{H^1},$$

$$\|e^{itL} P_S(f)\|_{H^1} \leq C(|t| + 1)^3 \|fe^{-\delta_0 x}\|_{L^2}.$$

The fixed point argument works *only if  $V$  very flat at 0*.

## Algebraic instabilities of the linearized operator 2

Noting  $\mathcal{L} = iL$ , we must solve

$$h(t, x) = - \int_t^{+\infty} e^{(\sigma-t)\mathcal{L}} \frac{i}{\sigma^2} V\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) Q(x) d\sigma + \dots$$

The polynomial instability of  $e^{t\mathcal{L}}$  comes from the generalized kernel  $S$ :

$$\int_t^\infty e^{(\sigma-t)\mathcal{L}} P_S(F(\sigma, x)) d\sigma = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 6} \nu_j(t) n_j(x),$$

where

$$\nu'_1 = 2\nu_4 - 2\nu_6 + \mu_1 \quad , \quad \nu'_{2,k} = 2\nu_{3,k} + \mu_{2,k} \quad , \quad \nu'_{3,k} = \mu_3,$$

$$\nu'_4 = 2\nu_5 + \mu_4 \quad , \quad \nu'_5 = -2\nu_6 + \mu_5 \quad , \quad \nu'_6 = \mu_6,$$

$$\mu_\alpha(t) = \langle F(t, x), m_\alpha(x) \rangle = \int \Re F(t) \Re m_\alpha + \int \Im F(t) \Im m_\alpha,$$

$$m_1 = i\tilde{Q} \quad , \quad m_{2,k} = x_k Q \quad , \quad m_{3,k} = -\partial_k Q \quad , \quad m_4 = -\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 Q - cQ$$

$$m_5 = i(Q + x \cdot \nabla Q) \quad , \quad m_6 = -Q \quad , \quad n_j \in \Sigma \quad , \quad \text{span}\{n_j\} = S.$$

# Simple modulation argument

Starting again from the pseudo-conformal transformed equation

$$i\partial_t v + \Delta v - \frac{1}{t^2} V\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) v + |v|^2 v = 0.$$

We first **modulate**  $v = \tilde{v} e^{i\theta(t)}$  and then we define  $h$  by  $\tilde{v} = e^{it}(Q + h)$ , so

$$h(t, x) = - \int_t^{+\infty} e^{(\sigma-t)\mathcal{L}} \left( \frac{i}{\sigma^2} V\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) + i\theta'(\sigma) \right) Q(x) d\sigma + \dots$$

We can **choose**  $\theta'$  such that  $\nu'_1 = 0$ , so that we gain another power in time, and we can have a **weaker flatness assumption** on  $V$  at zero.

## Modulation argument

For any modulation,

$$v(t, x) = \frac{e^{i\theta_1(t) + i\theta_2(t) \cdot x + i\theta_3(t)|x|^2}}{\lambda(t)} \tilde{v} \left( \gamma(t), \frac{x}{\lambda(t)} - \beta(t) \right), \quad \dot{\gamma}(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2(t)},$$

by defining  $\tilde{v} = e^{i\tau}(Q + h)$  in variables  $y = \frac{x}{\lambda(t)}$ ,  $\tau = \gamma(t)$ ,

$$\partial_\tau h + iLh = F_p(h) + Z_p(h) + Z_p(Q),$$

where

$$Z_p(f) = -i(p_1 f + p_2 \cdot y f + p_3 |y|^2 f) + p_4 \cdot \nabla f + p_p(f + y \cdot \nabla f).$$

The  $p_j$ 's are real functions of  $(\theta, \lambda, \beta)$ . In particular  $Z_p(Q) \in S$ .

Given  $p_j$ 's with appropriate decay we recover by a fixed point  $(\theta, \lambda, \beta)$ .

## Construction of a solution

We want to find a function  $h$  such that there exists  $p$  for which

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau h_S + iLh_S = P_S F_p(h) + P_S Z_p(h) + Z_p(Q), \\ \partial_\tau h_M + iLh_M - P_M Z_p(h_M) = P_M F_p(h) + P_M Z_p(h_S). \end{cases}$$

- Given a function  $h$ , we find  $p$  such that

$$\Phi_1(h)(\tau) = \int_\tau^\infty e^{(\sigma-\tau)\mathcal{L}} (P_S F_p(h) + P_S Z_p(h) + Z_p(Q)) d\sigma$$

is spanned by only one secular mode (we assume  $V$  radial).

- We prove the existence of  $\Phi_2(h) = \phi$  solution (in  $M$  for all  $\tau$ ) of

$$\partial_\tau \phi + (iL - P_M Z_p)(\phi) = P_M F_p(h) + P_M Z_p(h_S),$$

by showing first energy estimates.

- We perform a fixed point argument for  $(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)(h)$ .